arXiv:1104.0789v1 [math.AP] 5 Apr 2011

INVERSE PROBLEMS WITH PARTIAL DATA FOR A MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR IN AN INFINITE SLAB AND ON A BOUNDED DOMAIN

KATSIARYNA KRUPCHYK, MATTI LASSAS, AND GUNTHER UHLMANN

Abstract. In this paper we study inverse boundary value problems with partial data for the magnetic Schrödinger operator. In the case of an infinite slab in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, we establish that the magnetic field and the electric potential can be determined uniquely, when the Dirichlet and Neumann data are given either on the different boundary hyperplanes of the slab or on the same hyperplane. This is a generalization of the results of [41], obtained for the Schrödinger operator without magnetic potentials.

In the case of a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, extending the results of [2], we show the unique determination of the magnetic field and electric potential from the Dirichlet and Neumann data, given on two arbitrary open subsets of the boundary, provided that the magnetic and electric potentials are known in a neighborhood of the boundary. Generalizing the results of [31], we also obtain uniqueness results for the magnetic Schrödinger operator, when the Dirichlet and Neumann data are known on the same part of the boundary, assuming that the inaccessible part of the boundary is a part of a hyperplane.

1. Introduction and statement of results

The purpose of this paper is to study inverse boundary value problems with partial data for the magnetic Schrödinger operator on a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, as well as in an infinite slab in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

We shall start by discussing the case of the slab. Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be an infinite slab between two parallel hyperplanes Γ_1 and Γ_2. Without loss of generality, we shall assume that

$$\Sigma = \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, 0 < x_n < L\}, \quad L > 0,$$

and

$$\Gamma_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n = L\}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n = 0\}.$$

Consider the magnetic Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x, D) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (D_j + A_j(x))^2 + q(x),$$

with magnetic potential $A = (A_j)_{1\le j\le n} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and electric potential $q \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})$. Here $D = i^{-1}\nabla$. In what follows, we shall assume that A and q are compactly supported. According to Proposition A.2 in Appendix A, the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x, D)$, equipped with the domain $H^1_0(\Sigma) \cap H^2(\Sigma)$ is closed and its essential spectrum is equal to $[\pi^2/L^2, +\infty)$.

We shall be concerned with the following Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x, D) - k^2)u(x) &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma,\\ u &= f \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_1,\\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_2, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $k \ge 0$ is fixed and $f \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1)$ is with compact support in Γ_1. When $k < \pi/L$ and k^2 avoids the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, the problem (1.1) has a unique solution $u \in H^2(\Sigma)$. When the spectral parameter k^2 is on the essential spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, to discuss the solvability of the problem (1.1), in Appendix A we introduce the notion of an admissible frequency k and an admissible solution u. Roughly speaking, the notion of admissibility of a solution u means that a finite number of the Fourier coefficients of u with respect to x_n satisfy the Sommerfeld radiation condition at infinity. Furthermore, when A and q are real, so that the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ is self-adjoint, we show in Proposition A.6 that if $k \ge \pi/L$ is such that k^2 avoids the embedded eigenvalues and the set of thresholds $\{(\pi l/L)^2 : l = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, then k is admissible for $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$.

If k is admissible for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, we show in Appendix A that the problem (1.1) has a unique admissible solution u. Notice that $u \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma})$, where we recall that

$$H^2_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma}) = \{u|_\Sigma : u \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

We define the Dirichlet–to-Neumann map for the magnetic Schrödinger operator in the infinite slab Σ by

$$\mathcal{N}_{A,q} : H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\Gamma_1) \to H^{1/2}_{\text{loc}}(\partial\Sigma), \quad f \mapsto (\partial_\nu + iA\cdot\nu)u|_{\partial\Sigma},$$

where u is the solution of (1.1). Here ν is the unit outer normal to the boundary $\partial\Sigma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$.

As it was noticed in [53], the Dirichlet–to–Neumann map is invariant under gauge transformations of the magnetic potential. It follows from the identities

$$e^{-i\Psi}\mathcal{L}_{A,q}e^{i\Psi} = \mathcal{L}_{A+\nabla\Psi,q}, \quad e^{-i\Psi}\mathcal{N}_{A,q}e^{i\Psi} = \mathcal{N}_{A+\nabla\Psi,q}, \tag{1.2}$$

that $\mathcal{N}_{A,q} = \mathcal{N}_{A+\nabla\Psi,q}$ when $\Psi \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Sigma})$ compactly supported is such that $\Psi|_{\partial\Sigma} = 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}$ carries only information about the magnetic field dA, where A is viewed as the 1-form $\Sigma_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j$.

We shall now state two main results of this paper, which generalize the corresponding results of [41], obtained in the case of the Schrödinger operator without a magnetic potential. The first result, concerning the case when the data and the measurements are on different boundary hyperplanes, is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, *be an infinite slab between two parallel hyperplanes* Γ_1 *and* Γ_2, *and let* $A^{(j)} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C}^n)$, $q^{(j)} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C})$, $j = 1, 2$. *Denote by* B *an open ball in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, *containing the supports of* $A^{(j)}$, $q^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2$, *and let* $\gamma_j \subset \Gamma_j$ *be arbitrary open sets such that*

$$\Gamma_j \cap \overline{B} \subset \gamma_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Assume that $k \geq 0$ *is admissible in the sense of Definition* A.9 *for the operator* $\mathcal{L}_{A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$ *and its real transpose* $\mathcal{L}_{-A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$, $j = 1, 2$. *If*

$$\mathcal{N}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(f)|_{\gamma_2} = \mathcal{N}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(f)|_{\gamma_2}, \tag{1.3}$$

for any $f \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1)$, *supp* $(f) \subset \gamma_1$, *then* $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$ *and* $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ *in* Σ.

The assumption that $k \geq 0$ is admissible for the real transpose $\mathcal{L}_{-A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$ is needed when proving a Runge type approximation result in the infinite slab. We would also like to remark that when the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$ is self-adjoint and k^2 is not an eigenvalue and not in the set of thresholds $\{(\pi l/L)^2 : l = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$, then $k \geq 0$ is admissible for both operators $\mathcal{L}_{A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{-A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$.

Notice that if the supports of the coefficients $A^{(j)}$, $q^{(j)}$ are strictly contained in the interior of the slab, then the regions γ_1 and γ_2 in Theorem 1.1 can be taken arbitrarily small.

The next result deals with the inverse problem with the measurements and the data given on the same boundary hyperplane.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, *be an infinite slab between two parallel hyperplanes* Γ_1 *and* Γ_2, *and let* $A^{(j)} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C}^n)$, $q^{(j)} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C})$, $j = 1, 2$. *Denote by* B *an open ball in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, *containing the supports of* $A^{(j)}$, $q^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2$, *and let* $\gamma_1, \gamma_1' \subset \Gamma_1$ *be arbitrary open sets such that*

$$\Gamma_1 \cap \overline{B} \subset \gamma_1, \quad \Gamma_1 \cap \overline{B} \subset \gamma_1'.$$

Assume that $k \geq 0$ *is admissible in the sense of Definition* A.9 *for the operator* $\mathcal{L}_{A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$ *and its real transpose* $\mathcal{L}_{-A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$, $j = 1, 2$. *If*

$$\mathcal{N}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(f)|_{\gamma_1'} = \mathcal{N}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(f)|_{\gamma_1'},$$

for any $f \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1)$, *supp* $(f) \subset \gamma_1$, *then* $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$ *and* $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ *in* Σ.

The main technical tool in proving Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 is the construction of complex geometric optics solutions [11, 54] with linear phases for the magnetic Schrödinger operator, vanishing along a boundary hyperplane. The idea of constructing such solutions in the case of the Schrödinger operator without a magnetic potential, is based on a reflection argument and is due to [31]. It was applied to the inverse boundary value problem for the Schrödinger operator in an infinite slab in the work [41], which was our starting point. We would like to emphasize that the case of the Schrödinger operator with a magnetic potential is considerably more involved, than the case without magnetic potential, studied in [41]. This is due, in particular, to the fact that a reflection argument with respect to a boundary hyperplane leads to a magnetic potential which is in general only Lipschitz continuous. The construction of complex geometric optics solutions in this case is consequently more complicated, as already seen in [17] and [35].

When exploiting the complex geometric optics solutions obtained by a reflection argument, we have to control the products of the various phases of the solutions, in the high frequency limit. This leads to some additional constraints on the choice of the complex frequency vectors in the phases, which have to be respected when recovering the components of the magnetic field. Notice also that rather than using boundary Carleman estimates in the proof of Theorem 1.1, as it was done in [41], here we proceed instead by reflecting both solutions with respect to the different boundary hyperplanes.

Let us consider next physical applications related to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Inverse problems for the Schrödinger equation in the slab geometry are encountered in imaging of thin specimens. A situation analogous to Theorem 1.1, where sources are located on one boundary hyperplane of the slab and the field is measured on the other boundary hyperplane, is encountered in the Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) [19, 49], where a beam of electrons is transmitted through a thin specimen. In TEM the boundary values on the upper side of the slab are controlled by the electromagnetic lenses which manipulate the incoming beam and the electrons transmitted through the specimen are detected below the lower side of the slab. We note that in TEM with high energy electrons, the problem is often analyzed using the geometrical optics approximation which leads to a problem of integral geometry [19, 48], but the models based directly on the Schrödinger equation (see discussion in [19, Section 4]) are also used.

Situations analogous to Theorem 1.2, where the sources are on the same boundary hyperplane of the slab where the fields are detected, are also encountered in many electron microscope applications. The Scanning Tunneling Microscope (STM) (see [13, 19]) and the Dual-tip STM (see [1]) are based on the quantum tunneling of electrons between a conducting tip (or two conducting tips) and the surface of the material (i.e. slab) to be examined. If imaged specimen is lying on a surface in which electrons cannot propagate, the wave function satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition on the lower boundary hyperplane. Then, the conducting tips correspond to both the source and the detection devices, and these measurements can be modeled using the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the upper boundary hyperplane.

Inverse problems for the Schrödinger equation in a slab are encountered also in optical tomography [4], see the remark at the end of Section 4 for a more detailed discussion.

Concerning inverse problems in the slab geometry, we would like to mention that apart from [41], inverse conductivity problems of recovering an unknown embedded object in an infinite slab were studied in [29, 52], while an inverse scattering problem for the Schrödinger operator in a slab was considered in [16].

In the remainder of this introduction we shall be concerned with inverse boundary value problems for the magnetic Schrödinger operator on a bounded domain. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded domain with C^∞ boundary. Consider the following Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} u &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= f, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

with $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$, $q \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, and $f \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$.

The magnetic Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, equipped with the domain $H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, is closed with the discrete spectrum. Let us make the following assumption:

(A) 0 is not an eigenvalue of the magnetic Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q} : H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$.

Under the assumption (A), the Dirichlet problem (1.4) has a unique solution $u \in H^2(\Omega)$, and we can introduce the Dirichlet–to–Neumann map

$$\Lambda_{A,q} : H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega) \to H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega), \quad f \mapsto (\partial_\nu + iA \cdot \nu) u|_{\partial\Omega},$$

where ν is the unit outer normal to the boundary.

Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \subset \partial\Omega$ be non-empty open subsets of the boundary. We are interested in the inverse boundary value problem for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ with partial boundary measurements: assuming that

$$\Lambda_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(f)|_{\gamma_2} = \Lambda_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(f)|_{\gamma_2},$$

for all $f \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, supp $(f) \subset \gamma_1$, can we conclude that $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in Ω?

When measurements are done on the entire boundary, inverse problems for various second order elliptic equations have been studied e.g. in [5, 9, 20, 43, 44, 47]. For very non-regular coefficient functions there are counterexamples to the uniqueness of the inverse problems [21, 23] which are closely related to the so-called invisibility cloaking [22, 24, 25].

Now in many applications, performing measurements on the entire boundary could be either impossible or too cost consuming. Therefore, the inverse boundary value problem with partial measurements, formulated above, is both natural and important, see e.g. [6, 32, 34, 37, 38, 40] for related problems. To the best of our knowledge, the partial data problem still remains open in general, even in the absence of a magnetic potential. In this case, under the assumption that $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in a neighborhood of the boundary of Ω, the problem was settled in [2]. Dropping this assumption, it was shown in [10] that the electric potential can be uniquely determined by the Dirichlet–to–Neumann map when $\gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$ and γ_2 is, roughly speaking, a half of the boundary. In [34], this result was significantly improved and it was shown that γ_2 can be possibly very small, while it is still required that γ_1 and γ_2 should have a non-void intersection. On the other hand, for special geometries of the domain, in [31], the identifiability result was established when $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ is such that the remaining part of the boundary is contained in a hyperplane or a sphere.

In the presence of a magnetic potential, the inverse problem of determining the magnetic field and the electric potential from partial boundary measurements was addressed in [17], when $\gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$ and γ_2 is possibly a very small subset of the boundary, see also [35]. Under the assumption that $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in a neighborhood of the boundary, in [7] it is proven that the magnetic field and the electric potential can be uniquely determined by boundary measurements, provided that $\gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$ and γ_2 is arbitrary. Logarithmic stability estimates for this problem are also obtained in [7].

Under the assumption that $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in a neighborhood of the boundary, generalizing the work [2], we have the following simple result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded domain with C^∞ connected boundary, and $A^{(j)} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q^{(j)} \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, $j = 1, 2$, be such that the assumption* (A) *is satisfied for both operators. Assume that $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in a neighborhood of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \subset \partial\Omega$ be non-empty open subsets of the boundary. If*

$$\Lambda_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(f)|_{\gamma_2} = \Lambda_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(f)|_{\gamma_2},$$

for all $f \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, supp $(f) \subset \gamma_1$, then $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in Ω.

In Theorem 1.3, the supports of $A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}$ are not allowed to come close to the boundary of Ω. However, this condition can be weakened for special bounded domains, say, for domains of the form $\Omega = \omega \times [0, L]$. Here $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is an open bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with connected smooth boundary. Assume that $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ near $\partial\omega \times [0, L]$. If

$$\Lambda_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(f)|_{\omega\times\{0\}} = \Lambda_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(f)|_{\omega\times\{0\}},$$

for all $f \in H^{3/2}(\partial(\omega \times [0, L]))$, supp $(f) \subset \omega \times \{L\}$, then $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in $\omega \times [0, L]$. Notice in particular that supports of $A^{(j)}$ and $q^{(j)}$ can approach the flat parts of the boundary of the cylinder, $\omega \times \{0\}$ and $\omega \times \{L\}$.

This observation follows from the proof of Theorem 1.1, when a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is replaced by a cylinder $\omega' \times [0, L]$, where $\omega' \subset\subset \omega$ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with connected smooth boundary, such that supp $(A^{(1)} - A^{(2)})$, supp $(q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}) \subset \omega' \times [0, L]$.

Finally, we have the following generalization of a result from [31] to the case of the magnetic Schrödinger operator, where the Dirichlet and Neumann data are known on the same part of the boundary, assuming that the inaccessible part of the boundary is a part of a hyperplane.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $\Omega \subset \{\mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$, $n \geq 3$, *be a bounded domain with connected* C^∞ *boundary, and let* $\gamma_0 = \partial\Omega \cap \{x_n = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ *and* $\gamma = \partial\Omega \setminus \gamma_0$. *Let* $A^{(j)} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$ *and* $q^{(j)} \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, $j = 1, 2$, *be such that the assumption* (A) *is satisfied for both operators. If*

$$\Lambda_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(f)|_\gamma = \Lambda_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(f)|_\gamma,$$

for any $f \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, *supp* $(f) \subset \overline{\gamma}$, *then* $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$ *and* $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ *in* Ω.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review the construction of complex geometric optics solutions for the magnetic Schrödinger operator with a Lipschitz continuous magnetic potential, following [35]. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1, while the proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 4. Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, concerned with the case of bounded domains, are established in Section 5. Appendix A describes the construction of admissible solutions to the Dirichlet problem (1.1) in an infinite slab, considered in the main part of the paper.

2. Complex geometric optics solutions

When proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we shall employ a reflection argument across the boundary hyperplanes, which will lead to the magnetic potentials which are Lipschitz continuous on the extended domain. To this end, we shall start by recalling a construction of complex geometric optics solutions for the magnetic Schrödinger operator under these limited regularity assumptions. Here we follow the works [17] and particularly, [35].

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded domain with C^∞-boundary. Consider the magnetic Schrödinger equation,

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,q} u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{2.1}$$

where $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. Following [35], we recall the construction of complex geometric optics solutions

$$u(x, \zeta; h) = e^{x\cdot\zeta/h}(a(x, \zeta; h) + r(x, \zeta; h)) \tag{2.2}$$

of (2.1), which is based on Carleman estimates and a smoothing argument. Here $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\zeta \cdot \zeta = 0$, $|\zeta| \sim 1$, a is a smooth amplitude, r is a correction term, and $h > 0$ is a small parameter.

To deal with the magnetic potential $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$, we extend A to a Lipschitz vector field, compactly supported in $\widetilde{\Omega}$, where $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open bounded set such that $\Omega \subset\subset \widetilde{\Omega}$. We consider the mollification $A^\sharp = A * \varphi_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Here $\varepsilon > 0$ is small and $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n}\varphi(x/\varepsilon)$ is the usual mollifier with $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 \le \varphi \le 1$, and $\int \varphi dx = 1$. We write $A^\flat = A - A^\sharp$. We have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^\flat\|_{L^\infty} &= \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \\ \|\partial^\alpha A^\sharp\|_{L^\infty} &= \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-|\alpha|}) \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

In this paper we shall work with ζ depending slightly on h, i.e. $\zeta = \zeta^{(0)} + \zeta^{(1)}$ with $\zeta^{(0)}$ being independent of h and $\zeta^{(1)} = \mathcal{O}(h)$ as $h \to 0$. Consider the conjugated operator

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-x\cdot\zeta/h} h^2 \mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^{x\cdot\zeta/h} = & - h^2\Delta + 2(-i\zeta^{(0)} + hA)\cdot hD - 2i\zeta^{(1)}\cdot hD + h^2A^2 \\ & - 2ih\zeta^{(0)}\cdot(A^\sharp + A^\flat) - 2ih\zeta^{(1)}\cdot A + h^2(D\cdot A) + h^2 q. \end{aligned}$$

Then in order that (2.2) be a solution of (2.1), we need to have

$$\zeta^{(0)}\cdot Da + \zeta^{(0)}\cdot A^\sharp a = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{2.4}$$

$$e^{-x\cdot\zeta/h} h^2 \mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^{x\cdot\zeta/h} r = -h^2\mathcal{L}_{A,q} a + 2ih\zeta^{(0)}\cdot A^\flat a + 2i\zeta^{(1)}\cdot hDa + 2ih\zeta^{(1)}\cdot Aa \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \tag{2.5}$$

The equation (2.4) is the first transport equation and it follows from [35, Lemma 6.1] that it has a solution $a \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ which satisfies

$$\|\partial^\alpha a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le C_\alpha \varepsilon^{-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha. \tag{2.6}$$

The estimate (2.6) follows from the explicit formula for the solution $a = e^\Phi$, where $\Phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(x, \zeta^0; h) \\ & = \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\zeta^{(0)}\cdot A^\sharp(x - y_1 \mathrm{Re}\,\zeta^{(0)} - y_2 \mathrm{Im}\,\zeta^{(0)}) \chi(x - y_1 \mathrm{Re}\,\zeta^{(0)} - y_2 \mathrm{Im}\,\zeta^{(0)})}{y_1 + iy_2} dy_1 dy_2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega})$ is such that $\chi = 1$ near $\overline{\Omega}$.

Using (2.6), (2.3), and the fact that $\zeta^{(1)} = \mathcal{O}(h)$, for the right hand side of (2.5), we have the following estimate,

$$\| - h^2\mathcal{L}_{A,q} a + 2ih\zeta\cdot A^\flat a + 2i\zeta^{(1)}\cdot hDa + 2ih\zeta^{(1)}\cdot Aa\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le \mathcal{O}(h^2\varepsilon^{-2} + h\varepsilon).$$

It follows from [35, Proposition 4.3] that for h small enough, there is a solution $r \in H^1(\Omega)$ of (2.5), which satisfies $\|r\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(h\varepsilon^{-2} + \varepsilon)$. Here $\|r\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(\Omega)} =$

$\|r\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|h\nabla r\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. The optimal choice of ε is given by $\varepsilon = h^{1/3}$. We have therefore the following result, see [35, Proposition 4.3].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. Then for $h > 0$ small enough, there is a solution $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, given by (2.2), of the equation (2.1), where $a \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ solves the transport equation (2.4), and satisfies the estimate $\|\partial^\alpha a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le C_\alpha h^{-|\alpha|/3}$, and $\|r\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{1/3})$.*

Remark 2.2. *In what follows, we shall need complex geometric optics solutions belonging to $H^2(\Omega)$. To obtain such solutions, let $\Omega' \supset\supset \Omega$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary, and let us extend $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ to $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega', \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $L^\infty(\Omega')$-functions, respectively. By elliptic regularity, the complex geometric optics solutions, constructed on Ω', according to Proposition 2.1, belong to $H^2(\Omega)$.*

Remark 2.3. *Using (2.7) and (2.3), we see that*

$$\|\Phi(h) - \Phi^{(0)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \to 0, \quad h \to 0,$$

where $\Phi^{(0)}$ solves the equation

$$\zeta^{(0)} \cdot \nabla \Phi^{(0)} + i\zeta^{(0)} \cdot A = 0 \quad in \quad \Omega.$$

In what follows, we shall use the standard notation,

$$(u,v)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_\Omega u(x)\overline{v(x)}dx, \quad (u,v)_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} = \int_{\partial\Omega} u(x)\overline{v(x)}dS,$$

where dS is the surface measure on the boundary of Ω.

We recall finally the Green formula for the magnetic Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with C^∞ smooth boundary, see [17],

$$(\mathcal{L}_{A,q}u, v)_{L^2(\Omega)} - (u, \mathcal{L}_{\overline{A},\overline{q}}v)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (u, (\partial_\nu + i\nu\cdot\overline{A})v)_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} - ((\partial_\nu + i\nu\cdot A)u, v)_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}, \tag{2.8}$$

which is valid for all $u, v \in H^2(\Omega)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Assume that $k \ge 0$ is admissible for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$ and its real transpose $\mathcal{L}_{-A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$, $j = 1, 2$. Let $u_1 \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma})$ be the admissible solution to the Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(x,D) - k^2)u_1(x) = 0 \quad &\text{in} \quad \Sigma, \\ u_1 = f \quad &\text{on} \quad \Gamma_1, \\ u_1 = 0 \quad &\text{on} \quad \Gamma_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for $f \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1)$ such that supp $(f) \subset \gamma_1$. Here the existence and uniqueness of an admissible solution is guaranteed by the results of Appendix A. Let also $v \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma})$ be the admissible solution of the following problem,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(x,D)-k^2)v(x)&=0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma,\\ v&=u_1 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2.\end{aligned}$$

Setting $w=v-u_1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(x,D)-k^2)w&=(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\cdot Du_1+D\cdot((A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})u_1)\\ &+((A^{(1)})^2-(A^{(2)})^2+q^{(1)}-q^{(2)})u_1 \quad \text{in } \Sigma.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

It follows from (1.3) that

$$(\partial_\nu+iA^{(1)}\cdot\nu)u_1|_{\gamma_2}=(\partial_\nu+iA^{(2)}\cdot\nu)v|_{\gamma_2},$$

and therefore, $\partial_\nu w=0$ on γ_2, since $u_1=v=0$ on Γ_2. We denote

$$l_1:=\Gamma_1\cap\overline{B}\subset\gamma_1,\quad l_2:=\Gamma_2\cap\overline{B}\subset\gamma_2,\quad l_3:=\partial B\cap\Sigma.$$

It follows from (3.2) that $w\in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma})$ is a solution to

$$(-\Delta-k^2)w=0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma\setminus\overline{B}.$$

As $w=\partial_\nu w=0$ on $\gamma_2\setminus\overline{l_2}$, by unique continuation, $w=0$ in $\Sigma\setminus\overline{B}$. Therefore, $w=\partial_\nu w=0$ on l_3.

Let $u_2\in H^2(\Sigma\cap B)$ be a solution of the equation

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}}(x,D)-k^2)u_2=0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma\cap B, \tag{3.3}$$

such that

$$u_2=0 \quad \text{on} \quad l_1. \tag{3.4}$$

Then by the Green formula (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}((\mathcal{L}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}-k^2)w,u_2)_{L^2(\Sigma\cap B)}&=(w,(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}}-k^2)u_2)_{L^2(\Sigma\cap B)}\\ +(w,(\partial_\nu+i\nu\cdot\overline{A^{(2)}})u_2)_{L^2(\partial(\Sigma\cap B))}&-((\partial_\nu+i\nu\cdot A^{(2)})w,u_2)_{L^2(\partial(\Sigma\cap B))}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Recall that $\partial(\Sigma\cap B)=l_1\cup l_2\cup l_3$, $w=0$ on $\partial(\Sigma\cap B)$ and $\partial_\nu w=0$ on $l_2\cup l_3$. Thus, (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) imply that

$$((\mathcal{L}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}-k^2)w,u_2)_{L^2(\Sigma\cap B)}=0. \tag{3.6}$$

Using (3.2) and (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma\cap B}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\cdot((Du_1)\overline{u_2}+u_1\overline{Du_2})dx-i\int_{\partial(\Sigma\cap B)}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\cdot\nu u_1\overline{u_2}dS\\ +\int_{\Sigma\cap B}((A^{(1)})^2-(A^{(2)})^2+q^{(1)}-q^{(2)})u_1\overline{u_2}dx=0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We may assume without loss of generality that the normal components of $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are equal to zero on $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, i.e.,

$$A^{(1)} \cdot \nu = A^{(2)} \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2. \tag{3.8}$$

Indeed, it follows from (1.2) that for $A^{(j)}$, we can determine $\Psi^{(j)} \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Sigma})$ with compact support such that $\Psi^{(j)}|_{\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2} = 0$ and $\partial_\nu \Psi^{(j)} = -A^{(j)} \cdot \nu$ on $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, and replace $A^{(j)}$ by $A^{(j)} + \nabla\Psi^{(j)}$. For the existence of such $\Psi^{(j)} \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Sigma})$, we refer to [28, Theorem 1.3.3].

Moreover, by the choice of the set B, we have $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)} = 0$ on l_3. Thus,

$$\int_{\partial(\Sigma\cap B)} (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) \cdot \nu u_1 \overline{u_2} dS = 0,$$

and therefore, (3.7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma\cap B} (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) \cdot ((Du_1)\overline{u_2} + u_1 \overline{Du_2}) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma\cap B} ((A^{(1)})^2 - (A^{(2)})^2 + q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}) u_1 \overline{u_2} dx = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for any $u_1 \in W(\Sigma)$ and any $u_2 \in V_{l_1}(\Sigma \cap B)$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} W(\Sigma) = \{&u \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma}) : (\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} - k^2)u = 0 \text{ in } \Sigma,\ u|_{\Gamma_2} = 0,\ \text{supp } (u|_{\Gamma_1}) \subset \gamma_1, \\ &u \text{ is admissible in the sense of Appendix A}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{l_j}(\Sigma \cap B) = \{u \in H^2(\Sigma \cap B) : (\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}} - k^2)u = 0 \text{ in } \Sigma \cap B,\ u|_{l_j} = 0\},$$

$j = 1, 2$.

We would like to replace u_1 in (3.9) by an element of the space $W_{l_2}(\Sigma \cap B)$, where

$$W_{l_2}(\Sigma \cap B) = \{u \in H^2(\Sigma \cap B) : (\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} - k^2)u = 0 \text{ in } \Sigma \cap B,\ u|_{l_2} = 0\}.$$

To this end, as in [2, 31, 41], we need the following Runge type approximation result.

Proposition 3.1. *The space $W(\Sigma)$ is dense in $W_{l_2}(\Sigma \cap B)$ in $L^2(\Sigma \cap B)$-topology.*

Proof. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, we need to show that for any $g \in L^2(\Sigma \cap B)$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma\cap B} g\overline{u} dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } u \in W(\Sigma),$$

we have

$$\int_{\Sigma\cap B} g\overline{v} dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in W_{l_2}(\Sigma \cap B).$$

Let us extend g by zero to the complement of $\Sigma\cap B$ in Σ. Let $\overline{U}\in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma})$ be the admissible solution of the problem in the sense of Definition A.10,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_{-A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}-k^2)\overline{U}&=\overline{g}\quad\text{in}\quad\Sigma,\\ \overline{U}&=0\quad\text{on}\quad\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2.\end{aligned}$$

Then U solves the equation $(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(1)}},\overline{q^{(1)}}}-k^2)U=g$ in Σ. For any $u\in W(\Sigma)$, using the Green formula in the infinite slab Σ, see Proposition A.11, we have

$$0=\int_\Sigma g\overline{u}dx=\int_\Sigma[(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(1)}},\overline{q^{(1)}}}-k^2)U]\overline{u}dx=-\int_{\Gamma_1}\partial_\nu U\overline{u}dS.$$

Since $u|_{\Gamma_1}$ can be an arbitrary smooth function, supported in γ_1, we conclude that $\partial_\nu U|_{\gamma_1}=0$. Hence, U satisfies the equation $(-\Delta-k^2)U=0$ in $\Sigma\setminus B$, and moreover, $U=\partial_\nu U=0$ on $\gamma_1\setminus l_1$. Thus, by unique continuation, $U=0$ in $\Sigma\setminus B$, and we have $U=\partial_\nu U=0$ on l_3.

For any $v\in W_{l_2}(\Sigma\cap B)$, using the Green formula on the bounded domain $\Sigma\cap B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma\cap B}g\overline{v}dx&=\int_{\Sigma\cap B}[(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(1)}},\overline{q^{(1)}}}-k^2)U]\overline{v}dx=\int_{\Sigma\cap B}U\overline{(\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}-k^2)v}dx\\ &+\int_{\partial(\Sigma\cap B)}U\overline{(\partial_\nu+i\nu\cdot A^{(1)})v}dS-\int_{\partial(\Sigma\cap B)}(\partial_\nu+i\nu\cdot\overline{A^{(1)}})U\overline{v}dS=0.\end{aligned}$$

The claim follows. □

Since $(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\cdot\nu=0$ on $\partial(\Sigma\cap B)$, we can rewrite (3.9) in the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}-\int_{\Sigma\cap B}u_1D\cdot((A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\overline{u_2})dx+\int_{\Sigma\cap B}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\cdot(u_1\overline{Du_2})dx\\ +\int_{\Sigma\cap B}((A^{(1)})^2-(A^{(2)})^2+q^{(1)}-q^{(2)})u_1\overline{u_2}dx=0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, an application of Proposition 3.1 implies that (3.9) is valid for any $u_1\in W_{l_2}(\Sigma\cap B)$ and $u_2\in V_{l_1}(\Sigma\cap B)$.

The next step is to construct complex geometric optics solutions, belonging to the spaces $W_{l_2}(\Sigma\cap B)$ and $V_{l_1}(\Sigma\cap B)$. Let $\xi,\mu^{(1)},\mu^{(2)}\in\mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $|\mu^{(1)}|=|\mu^{(2)}|=1$ and $\mu^{(1)}\cdot\mu^{(2)}=\mu^{(1)}\cdot\xi=\mu^{(2)}\cdot\xi=0$. Similarly to [53], we set

$$\zeta_1=\frac{ih\xi}{2}+i\sqrt{1-h^2\frac{|\xi|^2}{4}}\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)},\quad\zeta_2=-\frac{ih\xi}{2}+i\sqrt{1-h^2\frac{|\xi|^2}{4}}\mu^{(1)}-\mu^{(2)},\tag{3.10}$$

so that $\zeta_j\cdot\zeta_j=0$, $j=1,2$, and $(\zeta_1+\overline{\zeta_2})/h=i\xi$. Here $h>0$ is a small enough semiclassical parameter. Moreover, $\zeta_1=i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)}+\mathcal{O}(h)$ and $\zeta_2=i\mu^{(1)}-\mu^{(2)}+\mathcal{O}(h)$ as $h\to0$.

For u_1, we need to require that $u_1|_{l_2}=0$. In order to fulfill this condition, we reflect $\Sigma\cap B$ with respect to the plane $x_n=0$ and denote this reflection by

$(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*=\{(x',-x_n):x=(x',x_n)\in\Sigma\cap B\}$. Here $x'=(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})$. We also extend the coefficients $A^{(1)}$ and $q^{(1)}$ to $(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*$. For $A_j^{(1)}$, $j=1,\dots,n-1$, and $q^{(1)}$, we do the even extension, and for $A_n^{(1)}$, we do the odd extension, i.e., we set

$$\widetilde{A}_j^{(1)}(x)=\begin{cases}A_j^{(1)}(x',x_n), & 0<x_n<L,\\ A_j^{(1)}(x',-x_n), & -L<x_n<0,\end{cases}, \quad j=1,\dots,n-1,$$

$$\widetilde{A}_n^{(1)}(x)=\begin{cases}A_n^{(1)}(x',x_n), & 0<x_n<L,\\ -A_n^{(1)}(x',-x_n), & -L<x_n<0,\end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{q}^{(1)}(x)=\begin{cases}q^{(1)}(x',x_n), & 0<x_n<L,\\ q^{(1)}(x',-x_n), & -L<x_n<0.\end{cases}$$

By (3.8), $A_n^{(1)}|_{x_n=0}=0$, and therefore, $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}\in W^{1,\infty}((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*)$ and $\widetilde{q}^{(1)}\in L^\infty((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*)$. Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 imply that there exist complex geometric optics solutions

$$\widetilde{u}_1(x,\zeta_1;h)=e^{x\cdot\zeta_1/h}(e^{\Phi_1(x,i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)};h)}+r_1(x,\zeta_1;h))\in H^2((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*)$$

of the equation $(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{A}^{(1)},\widetilde{q}^{(1)}}-k^2)\widetilde{u}_1=0$ in $(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*$, where

$$\|r_1\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*)}=\mathcal{O}(h^{1/3}) \tag{3.11}$$

and $\Phi_1\in C^\infty(\overline{(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*})$ satisfying

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\nabla e^{\Phi_1}+i(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot(\widetilde{A}^{(1)})^\sharp e^{\Phi_1}=0 \quad \text{in} \quad (\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\|\partial^\alpha e^{\Phi_1}\|_{L^\infty((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*)}\le C_\alpha h^{-|\alpha|/3}, \quad |\alpha|\ge 0. \tag{3.13}$$

By Remark 2.3, $\Phi_1(x,i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)};h)\to\Phi_1^{(0)}(x,i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})$ in the L^∞-norm as $h\to 0$, where $\Phi_1^{(0)}$ solves the equation

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\nabla\Phi_1^{(0)}+i(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\widetilde{A}^{(1)}=0 \quad \text{in} \quad (\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*. \tag{3.14}$$

Let

$$u_1(x)=\widetilde{u}_1(x',x_n)-\widetilde{u}_1(x',-x_n), \quad x\in\Sigma\cap B. \tag{3.15}$$

Then it is easy to check that $u_1\in W_{l_2}(\Sigma\cap B)$.

To construct u_2, we have to fulfill the condition $u_2|_{l_1}=0$. To this end, we reflect $\Sigma\cap B$ with respect to the plane $x_n=L$ and denote this reflection by $(\Sigma\cap B)_L^*=\{(x',-x_n+2L):x=(x',x_n)\in\Sigma\cap B\}$. For the coefficients $A_j^{(2)}$, $j=1,\dots,n-1$, and $q^{(2)}$, we do the even extension, and for $A_n^{(2)}$, we do the odd extension, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{A}_j^{(2)}(x) = \begin{cases} A_j^{(2)}(x', x_n), & 0 < x_n < L, \\ A_j^{(2)}(x', -x_n + 2L), & L < x_n < 2L, \end{cases}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$\widetilde{A}_n^{(2)}(x) = \begin{cases} A_n^{(2)}(x', x_n), & 0 < x_n < L, \\ -A_n^{(2)}(x', -x_n + 2L), & L < x_n < 2L, \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{q}^{(2)}(x) = \begin{cases} q^{(2)}(x', x_n), & 0 < x_n < L, \\ q^{(2)}(x', -x_n + 2L), & L < x_n < 2L. \end{cases}$$

As $A_n^{(2)}|_{x_n=L} = 0$, we have $\widetilde{A}^{(2)} \in W^{1,\infty}((\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)^*_L)$ and $\widetilde{q}^{(2)} \in L^\infty((\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)^*_L)$. Thus, by Proposition 2.1, one can construct complex geometric optics solutions,

$$\widetilde{u}_2(x, \zeta_2; h) = e^{x\cdot\zeta_2/h}(e^{\Phi_2(x, i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}; h)} + r_2(x, \zeta_2; h)) \in H^2((\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)^*_L)$$

of the equation $(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\widetilde{A}^{(2)}}, \overline{\widetilde{q}^{(2)}}} - k^2)u_2 = 0$ in $(\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)^*_L$, where

$$\|r_2\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)^*_L)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{1/3}) \tag{3.16}$$

and $\Phi_2 \in C^\infty(\overline{(\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)^*_L})$ satisfies

$$(i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \nabla\Phi_2 + i(i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}) \cdot (\overline{\widetilde{A}^{(2)}})^\sharp = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)^*_L, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\|\partial^\alpha e^{\Phi_2}\|_{L^\infty((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)^*_L)} \le C_\alpha h^{-|\alpha|/3}, \quad |\alpha| \ge 0. \tag{3.18}$$

By Remark 2.3, $\Phi_2(x, i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}; h) \to \Phi_2^{(0)}(x, i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)})$ in the L^∞-norm as $h \to 0$, where $\Phi_2^{(0)}$ solves the equation

$$(i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \nabla\Phi_2^{(0)} + i(i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \overline{\widetilde{A}^{(2)}} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)^*_L. \tag{3.19}$$

Let

$$u_2(x) = \widetilde{u}_2(x', x_n) - \widetilde{u}_2(x', -x_n + 2L), \quad x \in \Sigma \cap B. \tag{3.20}$$

Then $u_2 \in V_{l_1}(\Sigma \cap B)$.

For future references, it will be convenient to have the following explicit expressions for the complex geometric optics solutions u_1 and u_2, given by (3.15) and (3.20),

$$u_1(x) = e^{x\cdot\zeta_1/h}(e^{\Phi_1(x)} + r_1(x)) - e^{(x', -x_n)\cdot\zeta_1/h}(e^{\Phi_1(x', -x_n)} + r_1(x', -x_n)), \tag{3.21}$$

$$u_2(x) = e^{x\cdot\zeta_2/h}(e^{\Phi_2(x)} + r_2(x)) - e^{(x', -x_n+2L)\cdot\zeta_2/h}(e^{\Phi_2(x', -x_n+2L)} + r_2(x', -x_n + 2L)). \tag{3.22}$$

The next step is to substitute the complex geometric optics solutions u_1 and u_2 into (3.9). To this end, we first note that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{x\cdot\zeta_1/h}e^{x\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h} &= e^{ix\cdot\xi}, \\ e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\zeta_1/h}e^{x\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h} &= e^{ix'\cdot\xi'-\frac{2i}{h}\sqrt{1-\frac{h^2|\xi|^2}{4}}\mu_n^{(1)}x_n-2\mu_n^{(2)}x_n/h}, \\ e^{x\cdot\zeta_1/h}e^{(x',-x_n+2L)\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h} &= e^{ix'\cdot\xi'+2\mu_n^{(2)}(x_n-L)/h+ia_1}, \\ e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\zeta_1/h}e^{(x',-x_n+2L)\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h} &= e^{ix'\cdot\xi'-2L\mu_n^{(2)}/h+ia_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 2\sqrt{1-\frac{h^2|\xi|^2}{4}}\mu_n^{(1)}\left(\frac{x_n}{h}-\frac{L}{h}\right)+L\xi_n, \\ a_2 &= -x_n\xi_n+L\xi_n-\frac{2L}{h}\sqrt{1-\frac{h^2|\xi|^2}{4}}\mu_n^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall further assume that $\mu_n^{(2)} > 0$ and therefore, for $0 < x_n < L$, we have pointwise,

$$\begin{aligned} &|e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\zeta_1/h}e^{x\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h}| \to 0, \text{ as } h\to +0, \\ &|e^{x\cdot\zeta_1/h}e^{(x',-x_n+2L)\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h}| \to 0, \text{ as } h\to +0, \\ &|e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\zeta_1/h}e^{(x',-x_n+2L)\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h}| \to 0, \text{ as } h\to +0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

In what follows it will be convenient to write the following norm estimates, which are consequences of (3.13), (3.18), (3.11) and (3.16),

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{\Phi_j}\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|De^{\Phi_j}\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1/3}), \\ &\|r_j\|_{L^2} = \mathcal{O}(h^{1/3}), \quad \|Dr_j\|_{L^2} = \mathcal{O}(h^{-2/3}), \quad j=1,2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

For the complex geometric optics solutions u_1 and u_2, given by (3.21) and (3.22), using (3.23) together with (3.24), we get

$$h\int_{\Sigma\cap B}((A^{(1)})^2-(A^{(2)})^2+q^{(1)}-q^{(2)})u_1\overline{u_2}dx \to 0, \text{ as } h\to +0.$$

Denoting $\zeta_j^* = (\zeta_j', -(\zeta_j)_n)$ for $\zeta_j = (\zeta_j', (\zeta_j)_n)$, $j=1,2$, and using (3.21) and (3.22), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Du_1(x) = &-\frac{i\zeta_1}{h}e^{x\cdot\zeta_1/h}(e^{\Phi_1(x)}+r_1(x))+e^{x\cdot\zeta_1/h}(De^{\Phi_1(x)}+Dr_1(x)) \\ &+\frac{i\zeta_1^*}{h}e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\zeta_1/h}(e^{\Phi_1(x',-x_n)}+r_1(x',-x_n)) \\ &-e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\zeta_1/h}(De^{\Phi_1(x',-x_n)}+Dr_1(x',-x_n)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{Du_2(x)} =&\frac{i\overline{\zeta_2}}{h}e^{x\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h}(e^{\overline{\Phi_2(x)}}+\overline{r_2(x)})+e^{x\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h}(\overline{De^{\Phi_2(x)}}+\overline{Dr_2(x)})\\&-\frac{i\overline{\zeta_2^*}}{h}e^{(x',-x_n+2L)\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h}(e^{\overline{\Phi_2(x',-x_n+2L)}}+\overline{r_2(x',-x_n+2L)})\\&-e^{(x',-x_n+2L)\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h}(\overline{De^{\Phi_2(x',-x_n+2L)}}+\overline{Dr_2(x',-x_n+L)}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

Using (3.21), (3.22), (3.25) and (3.26), by the dominated convergence theorem together with (3.23) and (3.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&h\int_{\Sigma\cap B}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\cdot((Du_1)\overline{u_2}+u_1\overline{Du_2})dx\\&\to -2i(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\int_{\Sigma\cap B}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})e^{ix\cdot\xi}e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(x)+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(x)}}dx,\ \text{ as } h\to+0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_1^{(0)}$ and $\Phi_2^{(0)}$ solve (3.14) and (3.19), respectively.

Hence, multiplying (3.9) by h and letting $h\to+0$, we obtain

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\int_{\Sigma\cap B}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})e^{ix\cdot\xi}e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(x)+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(x)}}dx=0,\tag{3.27}$$

for all $\xi,\mu^{(1)},\mu^{(2)}\in\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mu_n^{(2)}>0$, $|\mu^{(1)}|=|\mu^{(2)}|=1$, and $\mu^{(1)}\cdot\mu^{(2)}=\mu^{(1)}\cdot\xi=\mu^{(2)}\cdot\xi=0$.

In the spirit of [17, 18, 51, 53], we get the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *The equality* (3.27) *implies that*

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\int_{\Sigma\cap B}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})e^{ix\cdot\xi}dx=0.\tag{3.28}$$

Proof. First notice that it follows from (3.14) and (3.19) that

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\nabla(\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}})+i(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})=0\quad\text{in}\quad\Sigma\cap B.\tag{3.29}$$

Notice that (3.12) implies that in the expression (3.21) for u_1, we may replace e^{Φ_1} by ge^{Φ_1} if $g\in C^\infty(\overline{(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*})$ is a solution of

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\nabla g=0\quad\text{in}\quad(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*.\tag{3.30}$$

Then (3.27) can be replaced by

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\int_{\Sigma\cap B}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})ge^{ix\cdot\xi}e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(x)+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(x)}}dx=0.$$

We conclude from (3.29) that

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})ge^{\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}}=ig(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\nabla e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}},$$

and therefore, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma\cap B} e^{ix\cdot\xi} g(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\nabla e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}}dx=0, \tag{3.31}$$

for all g satisfying (3.30).

Completing the orthonormal family $\mu^{(2)}$, $\mu^{(1)}$ to an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mu^{(2)},\mu^{(1)},\mu^{(3)},\dots,\mu^{(n)}$, we have for any vector $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$x=(x\cdot\mu^{(2)})\mu^{(2)}+(x\cdot\mu^{(1)})\mu^{(1)}+(x\cdot\mu^{(3)})\mu^{(3)}+\cdots+(x\cdot\mu^{(n)})\mu^{(n)}.$$

We introduce new linear coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n$, given by the orthogonal transformation $T:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$, $T(x)=y$, where $y_1=x\cdot\mu^{(2)}$, $y_2=x\cdot\mu^{(1)}$, $y_j=x\cdot\mu^{(j)}$, $j=3,\dots,n$. Denoting $z=y_1+iy_2$ and $\partial_{\bar z}=(\partial_{y_1}+i\partial_{y_2})/2$, we have

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\nabla=2\partial_{\bar z}.$$

Thus, changing coordinates in (3.31), we get

$$\int_{T(\Sigma\cap B)} e^{iy\cdot\xi} g\partial_{\bar z}(e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}})dy=0, \tag{3.32}$$

for all $\xi=(0,0,\xi'')$, $\xi''\in\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, and all $g\in C^\infty(\overline{T(\Sigma\cap B)})$ satisfying $\partial_{\bar z}g=0$. Taking $g=g(z)$ holomorphic in z, independent of $y''=(y_3,\dots,y_n)$, and taking the inverse Fourier transform in (3.32) in the variable ξ'', we get, for all $y''\in\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$,

$$\int_{T_{y''}} g(z)\partial_{\bar z}(e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}})d\bar z\wedge dz=0,$$

where $T_{y''}=T(\Sigma\cap B)\cap\Pi_{y''}$ and $\Pi_{y''}=\{(y_1,y_2,y''):(y_1,y_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2\}$. Notice that the boundary of $T_{y''}$ is piecewise C^∞-smooth. Since

$$d(ge^{\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}}dz)=g\partial_{\bar z}(e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}})d\bar z\wedge dz,$$

by the Stokes' formula, we obtain that

$$\int_{\partial T_{y''}} ge^{\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}}dz=0, \tag{3.33}$$

for all holomorphic functions $g\in C^\infty(\overline{T_{y''}})$.

Next we shall show that (3.33) implies that there exists a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function $F\in C(\overline{T_{y''}})$ such that

$$F|_{\partial T_{y''}}=e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}}|_{\partial T_{y''}}. \tag{3.34}$$

This follows from the arguments in [17, Lemma 5.1]. For the convenience of the reader, we present these arguments here. Following [17, Lemma 5.1], consider the Cauchy integral

$$F(z)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial T_{y''}}\frac{e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(\zeta)+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(\zeta)}}}{\zeta-z}d\zeta,\quad z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\partial T_{y''}.$$

The function F is holomorphic inside and outside of $\partial T_{y''}$. As $e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}}$ is Lipschitz, the Plemelj-Sokhotski-Privalov formula states that

$$\lim_{z\to z_0,z\in T_{y''}}F(z)-\lim_{z\to z_0,z\notin T_{y''}}F(z)=e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(z_0)+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(z_0)}},\quad z_0\in\partial T_{y''}. \tag{3.35}$$

Since the function $\zeta\mapsto(\zeta-z)^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $T_{y''}$ when $z\notin T_{y''}$, (3.33) implies that $F(z)=0$ when $z\notin T_{y''}$. Hence, the second limit in (3.35) is zero and therefore, F is holomorphic function on $T_{y''}$ such that (3.34) holds. Let us show that F nowhere vanishes in $T_{y''}$. To this end, let $\partial T_{y''}$ be parametrized by $z=\gamma(t)$, and N be the number of zeros of F in $T_{y''}$. Then by the argument principle,

$$N=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{z\in\gamma(t)}\frac{F'(z)}{F(z)}dz=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\zeta\in F(\gamma(t))}\frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\zeta\in e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(\gamma(t))+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(\gamma(t))}}}\frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}=0.$$

The latter equality follows from the fact that the contour $e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(\gamma(t))+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(\gamma(t))}}$ is homotopic to $\{1\}$ with the homotopy given by $e^{s(\Phi_1^{(0)}(\gamma(t))+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(\gamma(t))})}$, $s\in[0,1]$. The claim follows.

Next since F is nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on $T_{y''}$ and $T_{y''}$ is simply connected, it admits a holomorphic logarithm. Hence, (3.34) implies that

$$(\log F)|_{\partial T_{y''}}=(\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}})|_{\partial T_{y''}},$$

and therefore, by the Cauchy theorem,

$$\int_{\partial T_{y''}}g(\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}})dz=\int_{\partial T_{y''}}g\log F dz=0,$$

where $g\in C^\infty(\overline{T_{y''}})$ is an arbitrary function such that $\partial_{\bar z}g=0$. An application of Stokes' formula gives

$$\int_{T_{y''}}g\partial_{\bar z}(\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}})d\bar z\wedge dz=0.$$

Taking the Fourier transform with respect to y'', we get

$$\int_{T(\Sigma\cap B)}e^{iy\cdot\xi}g\partial_{\bar z}(\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}})dy=0,$$

for all $\xi=(0,0,\xi'')$, $\xi''\in\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. Hence, returning back to the variables x, we have

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\int_{\Sigma\cap B}e^{ix\cdot\xi}g(x)\nabla(\Phi_1^{(0)}+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}})dx=0,$$

where $g \in C^\infty(\overline{\Sigma \cap B})$ is such that $(i\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \nabla g = 0$ in $\Sigma \cap B$.

Using (3.29), we obtain that

$$(i\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \int_{\Sigma \cap B} (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) g(x) e^{ix\cdot\xi} dx = 0. \tag{3.36}$$

With $g = 1$, we get (3.28). The proof is complete.

□

Since in (3.28) the vector $\mu^{(1)}$ can be replaced by $-\mu^{(1)}$, we get

$$\mu^{(1)} \cdot \int_{\Sigma \cap B} (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) e^{ix\cdot\xi} dx = 0, \tag{3.37}$$

for all $\xi, \mu^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mu^{(1)} \cdot \xi = 0$ and for which there is a vector $\mu^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mu^{(2)} \cdot \mu^{(1)} = \mu^{(2)} \cdot \xi = 0$ and $\mu^{(2)}_n > 0$.

In the proof of the following result, we shall use some ideas from [50].

Proposition 3.3. *We have*

$$\partial_j (A^{(1)}_k - A^{(2)}_k) - \partial_k (A^{(1)}_j - A^{(2)}_j) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Sigma \cap B, \quad 1 \le j, k \le n. \tag{3.38}$$

Proof. It follows from (3.37) that

$$\mu^{(1)} \cdot (\widehat{A^{(1)}\chi_{\Sigma\cap B}}(\xi) - \widehat{A^{(2)}\chi_{\Sigma\cap B}}(\xi)) = 0, \tag{3.39}$$

where $\chi_{\Sigma\cap B}$ is the characteristic function of the set $\Sigma \cap B$ and $\widehat{A^{(j)}\chi_{\Sigma\cap B}}$ stands for the Fourier transform of $A^{(j)}\chi_{\Sigma\cap B}$.

Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, $\xi_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and let

$$\mu^{(1)}(\xi, j, k) = -\xi_k e_j + \xi_j e_k, \ 1 \le j, k \le n, \ j \ne k,$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is the standard orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mu^{(1)}(\xi, j, k) \cdot \xi = 0$. If j, k are such that $1 \le j, k < n$, $j \ne k$, we set

$$\mu^{(2)}(\xi, j, k) = -\xi_j \xi_n e_j - \xi_k \xi_n e_k + (\xi_j^2 + \xi_k^2) e_n.$$

If $k = n$ and j is such that $1 \le j < n$, we define

$$\mu^{(2)}(\xi, j, n) = (-\xi_j^2 - \xi_n^2) e_l + \xi_l \xi_j e_j + \xi_l \xi_n e_n,$$

with some $l \ne j, n$, which exists, since $n \ge 3$. In all cases, we have $\xi \cdot \mu^{(2)}(\xi, j, k) = 0$, $\mu^{(1)}(\xi, j, k) \cdot \mu^{(2)}(\xi, j, k) = 0$, and $\mu^{(2)}_n(\xi, j, k) > 0$.

Hence, for the vectors $\mu^{(1)}(\xi, j, k)$ and ξ, (3.39) holds, and it yields that

$$\xi_j \cdot (\widehat{A^{(2)}_k \chi_{\Sigma\cap B}}(\xi) - \widehat{A^{(1)}_k \chi_{\Sigma\cap B}}(\xi)) - \xi_k \cdot (\widehat{A^{(2)}_j \chi_{\Sigma\cap B}}(\xi) - \widehat{A^{(1)}_j \chi_{\Sigma\cap B}}(\xi)) = 0,$$

$1 \le j, k \le n$, $j \ne k$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi_1 > 0, \dots, \xi_n > 0$, and thus, everywhere by the analyticity of the Fourier transform. This proves (3.38). □

By Proposition 3.3, we have $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$ in Σ. Since Σ is simply connected, there exists $\Psi \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Sigma})$ with compact support such that

$$A^{(1)} - A^{(2)} = \nabla \Psi \quad \text{in } \Sigma. \tag{3.40}$$

In particular, $\Psi = 0$ along $\partial B \cap \Sigma$. The next step is to show that Ψ vanishes along the boundary of Σ. To this end, substituting (3.40) and $\xi = 0$ into (3.36), we get

$$(i\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \int_{\Sigma \cap B} (\nabla \Psi) g(x) dx = 0, \tag{3.41}$$

where $g \in C^\infty(\overline{\Sigma \cap B})$ is an arbitrary function such that $(i\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \nabla g = 0$ in $\Sigma \cap B$. We may replace $\mu^{(1)}$ by $-\mu^{(1)}$ in (3.41), and passing to the variables y as in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\int_{T(\Sigma \cap B)} g_1(y) \partial_{\bar z} \Psi dy = 0, \quad \int_{T(\Sigma \cap B)} g_2(y) \partial_z \Psi dy = 0,$$

where $\partial_{\bar z} g_1 = 0$ and $\partial_z g_2 = 0$. Taking $g_j(y) = g_j'(z) \otimes g_j''(y'')$, $j = 1, 2$, $y'' = (y_3, \dots, y_n)$, and varying g_j'' leads to

$$\int_{T_{y''}} g_1'(z) \partial_{\bar z} \Psi d\bar z \wedge dz = 0, \quad \int_{T_{y''}} g_2'(z) \partial_z \Psi dz \wedge d\bar z = 0,$$

where $\partial_{\bar z} g_1' = 0$ and $\partial_z g_2' = 0$. Using Stokes' theorem, we get

$$\int_{\partial T_{y''}} g_1'(z) \Psi dz = 0, \quad \int_{\partial T_{y''}} g_2'(z) \Psi d\bar z = 0.$$

In particular, taking $g_2' = \overline{g_1'}$, we have

$$\int_{\partial T_{y''}} \overline{g_1'} \Psi d\bar z = 0, \quad \text{and therefore,} \quad \int_{\partial T_{y''}} g_1' \overline{\Psi} dz = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\partial T_{y''}} g_1'(z) \mathrm{Re}\, \Psi dz = 0, \quad \int_{\partial T_{y''}} g_1'(z) \mathrm{Im}\, \Psi dz = 0,$$

for any holomorphic function $g_1' \in C^\infty(\overline{T_{y''}})$. Arguing again as in [17, Lemma 5.1], we conclude that there exist holomorphic functions $F_j \in C(\overline{T_{y''}})$, $j = 1, 2$, such that

$$F_1|_{\partial T_{y''}} = \mathrm{Re}\, \Psi|_{\partial T_{y''}}, \quad F_2|_{\partial T_{y''}} = \mathrm{Im}\, \Psi|_{\partial T_{y''}}.$$

Furthermore, we have $\Delta \mathrm{Im}\, F_j = 0$ in $T_{y''}$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, F_j|_{\partial T_{y''}} = 0$. Thus, F_j are real-valued and therefore, constant on the connected set $T_{y''}$. Hence, Ψ is constant along $\partial T_{y''}$.

Going back to the x-coordinates, we conclude that the function $\Psi(x)$ is constant along the boundary of the section $T^{-1}(T_{y''}) = (\Sigma \cap B) \cap T^{-1}(\Pi_{y''})$, for all $y'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, where the two-dimensional plane $T^{-1}(\Pi_{y''})$ is given by

$$T^{-1}(\Pi_{y''}) = \Big\{ x = y_1\mu^{(2)} + y_2\mu^{(1)} + \sum_{j=3}^{n} y_j\mu^{(j)} : y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}, y'' = (y_3, \ldots, y_n) \Big\}.$$

Here $\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are such that $\mu^{(1)} \cdot \mu^{(2)} = 0$, $|\mu^{(1)}| = |\mu^{(2)}| = 1$, and $\mu_n^{(2)} > 0$. Choosing the two-dimensional planes $T^{-1}(\Pi_{y''})$ with $\mu^{(2)} = e_n$, and $\mu^{(1)} = e_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and varying y'', we conclude that Ψ vanishes along $\partial(\Sigma \cap B)$. We refer to [17, 36] for a detailed discussion in the context of a general bounded domain.

In order to prove that $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$, we may and shall assume that $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$. Indeed, as Ψ vanishes along Σ, it follows from (3.40) and (1.2) that

$$\mathcal{N}_{A^{(1)},q^{(2)}} = \mathcal{N}_{A^{(2)}+\nabla\Psi,q^{(2)}} = \mathcal{N}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}},$$

and therefore,

$$\mathcal{N}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(f)|_{\gamma_2} = \mathcal{N}_{A^{(1)},q^{(2)}}(f)|_{\gamma_2},$$

for any $f \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1)$, supp $(f) \subset \gamma_1$. Substituting $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ in (3.9), we get

$$\int_{\Sigma\cap B} (q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}) u_1 \overline{u_2} dx = 0. \tag{3.42}$$

Choosing in (3.42) u_1 and u_2 being complex geometric optics solutions, given by (3.21) and (3.22), and letting $h \to +0$, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma\cap B} (q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}) e^{ix\cdot\xi} e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(x) + \overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(x)}} dx = 0. \tag{3.43}$$

As before, notice that (3.12) implies that in the expression (3.21) for u_1, we may replace e^{Φ_1} by ge^{Φ_1} if $g \in C^\infty(\overline{(\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)_0^*})$ is a solution of

$$(i\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \nabla g = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)_0^*. \tag{3.44}$$

Then (3.43) can be replaced by

$$\int_{\Sigma\cap B} (q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}) g(x) e^{ix\cdot\xi} e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(x) + \overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(x)}} dx = 0.$$

Furthermore, (3.29) has the form,

$$(i\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \nabla(\Phi_1^{(0)} + \overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}}) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma \cap B.$$

Hence, taking $g = e^{-(\Phi_1^{(0)} + \overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}})}$, we get

$$\int_{\Sigma\cap B} (q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}) e^{ix\cdot\xi} dx = 0,$$

for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$ such that there exist $\mu^{(1)},\mu^{(2)}\in\mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying

$$\xi\cdot\mu^{(1)}=\xi\cdot\mu^{(2)}=\mu^{(1)}\cdot\mu^{(2)}=0,\ |\mu^{(1)}|=|\mu^{(2)}|=1,\ \mu_n^{(2)}>0. \tag{3.45}$$

Let $\xi=(\xi',\xi_{n-1},\xi_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi'\in\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, be an arbitrary vector. Then assuming that $\xi_{n-1}\neq 0$, consider the vector

$$\widetilde{\mu^{(2)}}=\left(0_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}},\frac{-\xi_n}{\xi_{n-1}},1\right),\ \mu^{(2)}=\widetilde{\mu^{(2)}}/|\widetilde{\mu^{(2)}}|.$$

Since $n\geq 3$, there exists $\mu^{(1)}\in\mathbb{R}^n$ such that (3.45) holds. Thus, $\widehat{q^{(1)}}(\xi)=\widehat{q^{(2)}}(\xi)$ for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\xi_{n-1}\neq 0$, and therefore, by continuity of the Fourier transform, for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, $q^{(1)}=q^{(2)}$ in $\Sigma\cap B$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

First, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the identity (3.9), which is valid for any $u_1\in W_{l_2}(\Sigma\cap B)$ and $u_2\in V_{l_2}(\Sigma\cap B)$.

Next we shall construct complex geometric optics solutions, vanishing on l_2, using the same choice of complex frequencies ζ_1 and ζ_2, defined in (3.10). The solution u_1 will be constructed precisely in the same way as in Theorem 1.1 and it is given by (3.15), see also (3.21).

When constructing u_2, we proceed as in the definition of u_1 by reflecting the coefficients across the plane $x_n=0$. For the coefficients $A_j^{(2)}$, $j=1,\dots,n-1$, and $q^{(2)}$, we do the even extension, and for $A_n^{(2)}$, we do the odd extension,

$$\widetilde{A}_j^{(2)}(x)=\begin{cases}A_j^{(2)}(x',x_n), & 0<x_n<L,\\ A_j^{(2)}(x',-x_n), & -L<x_n<0,\end{cases},\quad j=1,\dots,n-1,$$

$$\widetilde{A}_n^{(2)}(x)=\begin{cases}A_n^{(2)}(x',x_n), & 0<x_n<L,\\ -A_n^{(2)}(x',-x_n), & -L<x_n<0,\end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{q}^{(2)}(x)=\begin{cases}q^{(2)}(x',x_n), & 0<x_n<L,\\ q^{(2)}(x',-x_n), & -L<x_n<0.\end{cases}$$

As $A_n^{(2)}|_{x_n=0}=0$, we have $\widetilde{A}^{(2)}\in W^{1,\infty}((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*)$ and $\widetilde{q}^{(2)}\in L^\infty((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*)$. Then by Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2, one can construct complex geometric optics solutions,

$$\widetilde{u}_2(x,\zeta_2;h)=e^{x\cdot\zeta_2/h}(e^{\Phi_2(x,i\mu^{(1)}-\mu^{(2)};h)}+r_2(x,\zeta_2;h))\in H^2((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*)$$

of the equation $(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\widetilde{A}^{(2)}},\overline{\widetilde{q}^{(2)}}}-k^2)u_2=0$ in $(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*$, where

$$\|r_2\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*)}=\mathcal{O}(h^{1/3}),$$

and $\Phi_2 \in C^\infty(\overline{(\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)_0^*})$ satisfying

$$(i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \nabla \Phi_2 + i(i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}) \cdot (\overline{\widetilde{A}^{(2)}})^\sharp = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)_0^*, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\|\partial^\alpha e^{\Phi_2}\|_{L^\infty((\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)_0^*)} \le C_\alpha h^{-|\alpha|/3}, \quad |\alpha| \ge 0.$$

By Remark 2.3, $\Phi_2(x, i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}; h) \to \Phi_2^{(0)}(x, i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)})$ in the L^∞-norm as $h \to 0$, where $\Phi_2^{(0)}$ solves the equation

$$(i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \nabla \Phi_2^{(0)} + i(i\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \overline{\widetilde{A}^{(2)}} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)_0^*.$$

Let

$$u_2(x) = \widetilde{u}_2(x', x_n) - \widetilde{u}_2(x', -x_n), \quad x \in \Sigma \cap B.$$

Then $u_2 \in V_{l_2}(\Sigma \cap B)$. It will be convenient to have following explicit expression for u_2,

$$u_2(x) = e^{x\cdot\zeta_2/h}(e^{\Phi_2(x)} + r_2(x)) - e^{(x', -x_n)\cdot\zeta_2/h}(e^{\Phi_2(x', -x_n)} + r_2(x', -x_n)). \tag{4.2}$$

The next step is to substitute complex geometric optics solutions u_1 and u_2, given by (3.21) and (4.2), into (3.9). To this end, we first analyze the phases of the products of the complex geometric optics solutions,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{x\cdot\zeta_1/h} e^{x\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h} &= e^{ix\cdot\xi}, \quad e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\zeta_1/h} e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h} = e^{i(x',-x_n)\cdot\xi}, \\ e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\zeta_1/h} e^{x\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h} &= e^{ix'\cdot\xi' - \frac{2i}{h}\sqrt{1-\frac{h^2|\xi|^2}{4}}\mu_n^{(1)} x_n - 2\mu_n^{(2)} x_n/h} = e^{ix\cdot\widetilde{\xi}_- - 2\mu_n^{(2)} x_n/h}, \\ e^{x\cdot\zeta_1/h} e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h} &= e^{ix'\cdot\xi' + \frac{2i}{h}\sqrt{1-\frac{h^2|\xi|^2}{4}}\mu_n^{(1)} x_n + 2\mu_n^{(2)} x_n/h} = e^{ix\cdot\widetilde{\xi}_+ + 2\mu_n^{(2)} x_n/h}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\xi}_\pm = \Big(\xi', \pm\frac{2}{h}\sqrt{1 - \frac{h^2|\xi|^2}{4}}\mu_n^{(1)}\Big).$$

We assume further that $\mu_n^{(2)} = 0$ and $\mu_n^{(1)} \ne 0$. Then $|\widetilde{\xi}_\pm| \to \infty$ as $h \to 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma\cap B} \zeta_1 \cdot (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) e^{x\cdot\zeta_1/h} e^{(x',-x_n)\cdot\overline{\zeta_2}/h} e^{\Phi_1(x)} e^{\overline{\Phi_2(x',-x_n)}} dx \\ &= \zeta_1 \cdot \int_{\Sigma\cap B} (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) e^{ix\cdot\widetilde{\xi}_+} e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(x) + \overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(x',-x_n)}} dx \\ &+ \zeta_1 \cdot \int_{\Sigma\cap B} (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) e^{ix\cdot\widetilde{\xi}_+} (e^{\Phi_1(x) + \overline{\Phi_2(x',-x_n)}} - e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(x) + \overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(x',-x_n)}}) dx \to 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

as $h \to 0$. Here the first integral in the right hand side of (4.3) goes to zero as $h \to 0$ by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, and the second one goes to zero, since

$\|\Phi_j - \Phi_j^{(0)}\|_{L^\infty} \to 0$, as $h \to 0$. Therefore, multiplying (3.9) by h and letting $h \to 0$, we get

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\bigg(\int_{\Sigma\cap B} (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) \cdot (-i\zeta_1 + i\overline{\zeta_2}) e^{ix\cdot\xi} e^{\Phi_1(x)+\overline{\Phi_2(x)}} dx \\ + \int_{\Sigma\cap B} (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) \cdot (-i\zeta_1^* + i\overline{\zeta_2^*}) e^{i(x',-x_n)\cdot\xi} e^{\Phi_1(x',-x_n)+\overline{\Phi_2(x',-x_n)}} dx \bigg) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$(i\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \int_{\Sigma\cap B} (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) e^{ix\cdot\xi} e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(x)+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(x)}} dx \\ + (i(\mu^{(1)})' + (\mu^{(2)})', -(i\mu_n^{(1)} + \mu_n^{(2)})) \\ \cdot \int_{\Sigma\cap B} (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) e^{i(x',-x_n)\cdot\xi} e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(x',-x_n)+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(x',-x_n)}} dx = 0.$$

Making a change of variables, we get

$$(i\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \int_{(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*} (\widetilde{A}^{(1)} - \widetilde{A}^{(2)}) e^{ix\cdot\xi} e^{\Phi_1^{(0)}(x)+\overline{\Phi_2^{(0)}(x)}} dx = 0,$$

where $\mu_n^{(2)} = 0$ and $\mu_n^{(1)} \neq 0$. At this point, we can repeat the arguments, used in the proof of Proposition 3.2, and conclude that

$$(i\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \int_{(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*} (\widetilde{A}^{(1)} - \widetilde{A}^{(2)}) e^{ix\cdot\xi} dx = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

for all $\xi, \mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\xi\cdot\mu^{(1)} = \xi\cdot\mu^{(2)} = \mu^{(1)}\cdot\mu^{(2)} = 0,\ |\mu^{(1)}| = |\mu^{(2)}| = 1,\ \mu_n^{(2)} = 0,\ \mu_n^{(1)} \neq 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Replacing the vector $\mu^{(1)}$ by $-\mu^{(1)}$, we obtain that

$$(-i\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \int_{(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*} (\widetilde{A}^{(1)} - \widetilde{A}^{(2)}) e^{ix\cdot\xi} dx = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Hence, (4.4) and (4.6) imply that

$$\mu \cdot \int_{(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*} (\widetilde{A}^{(1)} - \widetilde{A}^{(2)}) e^{ix\cdot\xi} dx = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

for all $\mu \in \operatorname{span}\{\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}\}$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that (4.5) holds.

We need the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$\partial_j(\widetilde{A}_k^{(1)} - \widetilde{A}_k^{(2)}) - \partial_k(\widetilde{A}_j^{(1)} - \widetilde{A}_j^{(2)}) = 0 \quad \textit{in } (\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*, \quad 1 \le j,k \le n.$$

Proof. Let first $n = 3$. Then for any vector $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 > 0$, the vectors

$$\mu^{(2)} = \left(\frac{-\xi_2}{\sqrt{\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2}}, \frac{\xi_1}{\sqrt{\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2}}, 0 \right),$$
$$\widetilde{\mu}^{(1)} = (-\xi_1\xi_3, -\xi_2\xi_3, \xi_1^2+\xi_2^2), \quad \mu^{(1)} = \widetilde{\mu}^{(1)}/|\widetilde{\mu}^{(1)}|,$$

satisfy (4.5). Thus, for any vector $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 > 0$, (4.7) says that

$$\mu \cdot v(\xi) = 0, \quad v(\xi) := \widehat{\widetilde{A}^{(1)}\chi}(\xi) - \widehat{\widetilde{A}^{(2)}\chi}(\xi), \tag{4.8}$$

for all $\mu \in \operatorname{span}\{\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}\}$. Here χ is the characteristic function of the set $(\Sigma \cap B) \cup (\Sigma \cap B)_0^*$. For any vector $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have the following decomposition,

$$v(\xi) = v_\xi(\xi) + v_\perp(\xi),$$

where $\operatorname{Re} v_\xi(\xi), \operatorname{Im} v_\xi(\xi)$ are multiples of ξ, and $\operatorname{Re} v_\perp(\xi), \operatorname{Im} v_\perp(\xi)$ are orthogonal to ξ. Since $n = 3$, we have $\operatorname{Re} v_\perp(\xi), \operatorname{Im} v_\perp(\xi) \in \operatorname{span}\{\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}\}$, and therefore, it follows from (4.8) that $v_\perp(\xi) = 0$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 > 0$. Hence,

$$v(\xi) = \alpha(\xi)\xi. \tag{4.9}$$

Let $\mu(\xi, j, k) := -\xi_k e_j + \xi_j e_k$, $1 \le j, k \le 3$, $j \ne k$. Here e_j is the standard orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then (4.9) implies that

$$\mu(\xi, j, k) \cdot v(\xi) = 0,$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 > 0$, and therefore

$$\xi_j \cdot (\widehat{\widetilde{A}_k^{(2)}\chi}(\xi) - \widehat{\widetilde{A}_k^{(1)}\chi}(\xi)) - \xi_k \cdot (\widehat{\widetilde{A}_j^{(2)}\chi}(\xi) - \widehat{\widetilde{A}_j^{(1)}\chi}(\xi)) = 0, \ 1 \le j, k \le 3, \ j \ne k,$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 > 0$, and thus, everywhere, by the analyticity of the Fourier transform. This completes the proof in the case $n = 3$.

Let $n \ge 4$. Then for any vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi_l \ne 0$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, the vectors

$$\mu^{(2)}(\xi, j, k) = -\xi_k e_j + \xi_j e_k, \ \mu^{(1)}(\xi, j, k) = (-\xi_j\xi_n)e_j + (-\xi_k\xi_n)e_k + (\xi_j^2 + \xi_k^2)e_n,$$

$1 \le j, k < n$, $j \ne k$, satisfy $\xi \cdot \mu^{(1)}(\xi, j, k) = \xi \cdot \mu^{(2)}(\xi, j, k) = \mu^{(1)}(\xi, j, k) \cdot \mu^{(2)}(\xi, j, k) = 0$, $\mu_n^{(2)}(\xi, j, k) = 0$, and $\mu_n^{(1)}(\xi, j, k) \ne 0$. Thus, (4.7) implies that

$$\xi_j \cdot (\widehat{\widetilde{A}_k^{(2)}\chi}(\xi) - \widehat{\widetilde{A}_k^{(1)}\chi}(\xi)) - \xi_k \cdot (\widehat{\widetilde{A}_j^{(2)}\chi}(\xi) - \widehat{\widetilde{A}_j^{(1)}\chi}(\xi)) = 0, \ 1 \le j, k < n, \ j \ne k, \tag{4.10}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi_l \ne 0$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi_l \ne 0$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, and let $1 \le j < n$. Choose the indices k and l such that the set $\{j, n, k, l\}$ consists of four distinct elements. Then the vectors

$$\mu^{(1)}(\xi, j, n) = -\xi_n e_j + \xi_j e_n, \ \mu^{(2)}(\xi, j, n) = -\xi_k e_l + \xi_l e_k,$$

satisfy $\xi\cdot\mu^{(1)}(\xi,j,n)=\xi\cdot\mu^{(2)}(\xi,j,n)=\mu^{(1)}(\xi,j,n)\cdot\mu^{(2)}(\xi,j,n)=0$, $\mu_n^{(2)}(\xi,j,n)=0$, and $\mu_n^{(1)}(\xi,j,n)\neq 0$. Hence, it follows from (4.7) that

$$\xi_j\cdot(\widehat{\widetilde{A}_n^{(2)}\chi}(\xi)-\widehat{\widetilde{A}_n^{(1)}\chi}(\xi))-\xi_n\cdot(\widehat{\widetilde{A}_j^{(2)}\chi}(\xi)-\widehat{\widetilde{A}_j^{(1)}\chi}(\xi))=0,\ 1\le j<n, \tag{4.11}$$

for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi_l\neq 0$, $l=1,2,\dots,n$. In the case $n\ge 4$, the claim of the proposition follows from (4.10) and (4.11).

□

By Proposition 4.1, we obtain that $d\widetilde{A}^{(1)}=d\widetilde{A}^{(2)}$ in $(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we see that there exists $\Psi\in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Sigma\cup\Sigma_0^*})$ with compact support such that

$$\widetilde{A}^{(1)}-\widetilde{A}^{(2)}=\nabla\Psi\quad\text{in } (\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*,$$

and $\Psi=0$ along $\partial((\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*)$. Since in particular $\Psi=0$ on Γ_1, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{A^{(2)}+\nabla\Psi,q^{(2)}}(f)|_{\gamma_1'}=\mathcal{N}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(f)|_{\gamma_1'},$$

for any $f\in H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1)$, supp $(f)\subset\gamma_1$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{N}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(f)|_{\gamma_1'}=\mathcal{N}_{A^{(1)},q^{(2)}}(f)|_{\gamma_1'},$$

for any $f\in H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1)$, supp $(f)\subset\gamma_1$. Hence, we may and shall assume that $A^{(1)}=A^{(2)}$.

As for the electric potentials $q^{(1)}$, $q^{(2)}$, continuing to argue as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we arrive at

$$\int_{(\Sigma\cap B)\cup(\Sigma\cap B)_0^*}(q^{(1)}-q^{(2)})e^{ix\cdot\xi}dx=0, \tag{4.12}$$

for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$ such that there exist $\mu^{(1)},\mu^{(2)}\in\mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying (4.5). For any vector $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\xi_{n-2}^2+\xi_{n-1}^2>0$, the vectors

$$\mu^{(2)}=\left(0_{\mathbb{R}^{n-3}},\frac{-\xi_{n-1}}{\sqrt{\xi_{n-2}^2+\xi_{n-1}^2}},\frac{\xi_{n-2}}{\sqrt{\xi_{n-2}^2+\xi_{n-1}^2}},0\right),$$

$$\widetilde{\mu^{(1)}}=\left(0_{\mathbb{R}^{n-3}},\frac{-\xi_n\xi_{n-2}}{\sqrt{\xi_{n-2}^2+\xi_{n-1}^2}},\frac{-\xi_n\xi_{n-1}}{\sqrt{\xi_{n-2}^2+\xi_{n-1}^2}},\sqrt{\xi_{n-2}^2+\xi_{n-1}^2}\right),\ \mu^{(1)}=\frac{\widetilde{\mu^{(1)}}}{|\widetilde{\mu^{(1)}}|},$$

satisfy (4.5). Thus, (4.12) holds for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\xi_{n-2}^2+\xi_{n-1}^2>0$, and therefore, by the analyticity of the Fourier transform, for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Remark. We shall finish this section by making a remark concerning inverse problems for the Schrödinger equation in a slab, which arise in optical tomography [4]. In optical diffusion tomography one reconstructs the optical material parameters inside an object by measuring the light transmitted and scattered through the object. There, a time harmonic diffusion equation is obtained by using an approximation of the radiative transfer equation. The so-called photon density function has the form $\Phi(x,t) = \mathrm{Re}\,(e^{i\omega t}\Phi(x))$, where $\Phi(x)$ satisfies the equation

$$-\nabla\cdot(\kappa(x)\nabla\Phi(x)) + \left(\mu_a(x) + \frac{i\omega}{c}\right)\Phi(x) = 0, \tag{4.13}$$

where $\kappa(x) = (3\mu_a(x) + 3\mu_s'(x))^{-1}$ is the diffusion coefficient, $\mu_a(x)$ is the absorption coefficient of the medium, $\mu_s'(x)$ is the reduced scattering coefficient of the medium, ω is the frequency, and c is the speed of light. Equation (4.13) yields

$$-\Delta\Phi(x) - \kappa(x)^{-1}\nabla\kappa(x)\cdot\nabla\Phi(x) + \kappa(x)^{-1}\left(\mu_a(x) + \frac{i\omega}{c}\right)\Phi(x) = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

which is of the form (1.1). When the sources are on the upper boundary hyperplane of the slab, the function Φ satisfies on the lower boundary hyperplane a Robin boundary condition $\Phi + 2\mathcal{A}\kappa\partial_\nu\Phi = 0$, where the parameter $\mathcal{A}(x)$ depends on the properties of the materials on both sides of the lower boundary hyperplane, see [12, 33]. For small values of $\mathcal{A}\kappa$, corresponding to the case when scattering or absorption is high, this boundary condition can be approximated by the Dirichlet boundary condition. For the study of inverse problems in optical tomography on bounded domains, see [4], and references therein. In particular, the first order terms in (4.14) are important in explaining the non-uniqueness encountered in the imaging problems in optical tomography, see [3].

5. Remarks on inverse problems on bounded domains

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ be a bounded domain with C^∞ smooth boundary such that $\Omega\setminus\overline{\Omega'}$ is connected and Ω' contains supp $(A^{(1)} - A^{(2)})$ and supp $(q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})$.

Let $u_1 \in H^2(\Omega)$ be the solution to the Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}u_1 &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,\\ u_1 &= f \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

for some $f \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ such that supp $(f) \subset \gamma_1$. Let also $v \in H^2(\Omega)$ be the solution of the following problem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}v &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,\\ v &= f \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $w = v - u_1 \in H^1_0(\Omega)\cap H^2(\Omega)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}w = {} & (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)})\cdot Du_1 + D\cdot((A^{(1)} - A^{(2)})u_1)\\ & + ((A^{(1)})^2 - (A^{(2)})^2 + q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})u_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

By our assumptions,

$$(\partial_\nu + iA^{(1)}\cdot\nu)u_1|_{\gamma_2} = (\partial_\nu + iA^{(2)}\cdot\nu)v|_{\gamma_2},$$

and since $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$, we have $\partial_\nu w = 0$ on γ_2. It follows from (5.1) that w is a solution to

$$\mathcal{L}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}w = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega\setminus\overline{\Omega'},$$

and $w = \partial_\nu w = 0$ on γ_2. As $A^{(2)}\in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, $q\in L^\infty(\Omega)$, and $\Omega\setminus\overline{\Omega'}$ is connected, by unique continuation, we obtain that $w = 0$ in $\Omega\setminus\overline{\Omega'}$, see [14, Corollary 1.38]. Thus, $w = \partial_\nu w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega'$.

Let $u_2\in H^2(\Omega')$ be a solution of the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}}u_2 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega'. \tag{5.2}$$

Then using Green's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}w, u_2)_{L^2(\Omega')} &= (w, (\partial_\nu + i\nu\cdot\overline{A^{(2)}})u_2)_{L^2(\partial\Omega')} - ((\partial_\nu + i\nu\cdot A^{(2)})w, u_2)_{L^2(\partial\Omega')}\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

This together with (5.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega'}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\cdot((Du_1)\overline{u_2} + u_1\overline{Du_2})dx - i\int_{\partial\Omega'}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\cdot\nu u_1\overline{u_2}dS\\ + \int_{\Omega'}((A^{(1)})^2 - (A^{(2)})^2 + q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})u_1\overline{u_2}dx = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ on $\partial\Omega'$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Omega'}(A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\cdot((Du_1)\overline{u_2} + u_1\overline{Du_2})dx\\ &+ \int_{\Omega'}((A^{(1)})^2 - (A^{(2)})^2 + q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})u_1\overline{u_2}dx = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

for any $u_2\in H^2(\Omega')$ satisfying (5.2) and any $u_1\in W(\Omega)$, where

$$W(\Omega) = \{u_1\in H^2(\Omega) : \mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}u_1 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,\ \text{supp}\,(u_1|_{\partial\Omega})\subset\gamma_1\}.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{W}(\Omega') = \{u_1\in H^2(\Omega') :\ \mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}u_1 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega'\}.$$

We need the following Runge type approximation result.

Proposition 5.1. *The space $W(\Omega)$ is dense in $\widetilde{W}(\Omega')$ in $L^2(\Omega')$-topology.*

Proof. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, we need to show that for any $g\in L^2(\Omega')$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega'} g\overline{u}dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } u\in W(\Omega),$$

we have

$$\int_{\Omega'} g\overline{v}dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in \widetilde{W}(\Omega').$$

Continue g by zero to $\Omega \setminus \Omega'$ and consider the Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(1)}},\overline{q^{(1)}}}U = g \quad & \text{in} \quad \Omega,\\ U = 0 \quad & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

As the assumption (A) holds for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}$, it also holds for the adjoint operator $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(1)}},\overline{q^{(1)}}}$, and therefore, the problem (5.4) has a unique solution $U \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. For any $u \in W(\Omega)$, using the Green formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \int_\Omega g\overline{u}dx = \int_\Omega (\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(1)}},\overline{q^{(1)}}}U)\overline{u}dx = \int_\Omega U\overline{\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}u}dx \\ + \int_{\partial\Omega} U\overline{(\partial_\nu + i\nu\cdot A^{(1)})u}dS - \int_{\partial\Omega}(\partial_\nu + i\nu\cdot\overline{A^{(1)}})U\overline{u}dS = -\int_{\partial\Omega}\partial_\nu U\overline{u}dS. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ can be an arbitrary smooth function, supported in γ_1, we conclude that $\partial_\nu U|_{\gamma_1} = 0$. Hence, U satisfies the equation $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(1)}},\overline{q^{(1)}}}U = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega'}$, and $U = \partial_\nu U = 0$ on γ_1. Thus, by unique continuation, $U = 0$ in $\Omega\setminus\overline{\Omega'}$, and therefore, we have $U = \partial_\nu U = 0$ on $\partial\Omega'$.

For any $v \in \widetilde{W}(\Omega')$, using the Green formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega'} g\overline{v}dx = \int_{\Omega'}(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(1)}},\overline{q^{(1)}}}U)\overline{v}dx = \int_{\Omega'} U\overline{\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}v}dx \\ + \int_{\partial\Omega'} U\overline{(\partial_\nu + i\nu\cdot A^{(1)})v}dS - \int_{\partial\Omega'}(\partial_\nu + i\nu\cdot\overline{A^{(1)}})U\overline{v}dS = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Since $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ on $\partial\Omega'$, in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we conclude that an application of Proposition 5.1 implies that (5.3) is valid for any $u_1 \in \widetilde{W}(\Omega')$ and $u_2 \in H^2(\Omega')$ satisfying (5.2) .

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open ball such that $\Omega' \subset\subset B$. Since $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ on $\partial\Omega'$, we can extend $A^{(j)}$ and $q^{(j)}$ to B so that the extensions, which we shall denote with by same letters, agree on $B \setminus \Omega'$, have compact support, and satisfy $A^{(j)} \in W^{1,\infty}(B)$, $q^{(j)} \in L^\infty(B)$. Hence, it follows from (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)})\cdot((Du_1)\overline{u_2} + u_1\overline{Du_2})dx \\ + \int_B ((A^{(1)})^2 - (A^{(2)})^2 + q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})u_1\overline{u_2}dx = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

for any $u_1, u_2 \in H^2(B)$, which solve

$$\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}u_1 = 0 \text{ in } B, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}}u_2 = 0 \text{ in } B.$$

By Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we can construct complex geometric optics solutions u_1 and u_2 on B, with ζ_1 and ζ_2 given by (3.10). Substituting the constructed complex geometric optics solutions into (5.5), and proceeding similarly to [45, 50, 53], we complete the proof. See also the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Notice first that without loss of generality, as in the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we assume, as we may, that

$$A^{(1)}\cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega}=A^{(2)}\cdot\nu|_{\partial\Omega}=0.$$

Then in the standard way as above, we obtain the following integral identity,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega (A^{(1)}-A^{(2)})\cdot((Du_1)\overline{u_2}+u_1\overline{Du_2})dx\\ &+\int_\Omega((A^{(1)})^2-(A^{(2)})^2+q^{(1)}-q^{(2)})u_1\overline{u_2}dx=0,\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

valid for all $u_1,u_2\in H^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}u_1=0\quad\text{in }\Omega,\quad u_1|_{x_n=0}=0,\tag{5.7}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}}u_2=0\quad\text{in }\Omega,\quad u_2|_{x_n=0}=0.\tag{5.8}$$

Using the method of reflection as in Theorem 1.2, we construct complex geometric optics solutions u_1 and u_2, as given by (3.21) and (4.2), and satisfying (5.7) and (5.8), respectively.

Substituting the complex geometric optics solutions u_1 and u_2 into (5.6), similarly to the proof of Theorem1.2, we obtain that

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\int_{\Omega\cup\Omega_0^*}(\widetilde{A}^{(1)}-\widetilde{A}^{(2)})e^{ix\cdot\xi}dx=0,\tag{5.9}$$

for all $\xi,\mu^{(1)},\mu^{(2)}\in\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\xi\cdot\mu^{(1)}=\xi\cdot\mu^{(2)}=\mu^{(1)}\cdot\mu^{(2)}=0,\ |\mu^{(1)}|=|\mu^{(2)}|=1,\ \mu_n^{(2)}=0,\ \mu_n^{(1)}\neq 0.$$

Here $\Omega_0^*=\{(x',x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n:(x',-x_n)\in\Omega\}$.

At this point it is convenient to apply the boundary reconstruction results of [8] to conclude that $A^{(1)}=A^{(2)}$ along $\overline{\gamma}$. Thus, it follows that $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}=\widetilde{A}^{(2)}$ along $\partial(\Omega\cup\Omega_0^*)$. Therefore, we may extend $\widetilde{A}^{(j)}$, $j=1,2$, to compactly supported $W^{1,\infty}$ vector fields on some large ball $B\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, such that $\Omega\cup\Omega_0^*\subset\subset B$, in such a way that $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}=\widetilde{A}^{(2)}$ in $B\setminus(\Omega\cup\Omega_0^*)$. Hence, (5.9) is replaced by

$$(i\mu^{(1)}+\mu^{(2)})\cdot\int_B(\widetilde{A}^{(1)}-\widetilde{A}^{(2)})e^{ix\cdot\xi}dx=0.$$

By Proposition 4.1, we get $d\widetilde{A}^{(1)}=d\widetilde{A}^{(2)}$ in B, and therefore, there exists $\Psi\in C^{1,1}(\overline{B})$ such that

$$\widetilde{A}^{(1)}-\widetilde{A}^{(2)}=\nabla\Psi\quad\text{in}\quad B.$$

It follows that $\nabla\Psi = 0$ in $B\backslash(\Omega\cup\Omega_0^*)$, and thus, Ψ is constant along the connected set $\partial(\Omega\cup\Omega_0^*)$. In particular, Ψ is constant along $\overline{\gamma}$, and modifying Ψ by constant, we may assume that $\Psi = 0$ along $\overline{\gamma}$. Hence, we may and shall assume that $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ in Ω. When recovering the electric potentials $q^{(1)}$ and $q^{(2)}$, we argue as in the end of the proof of Theorem 1.2. This completes the proof.

Appendix A. Solvability of the direct problem in an infinite slab

The purpose of this appendix is to provide a self-contained discussion of the solvability of the Dirichlet problem (1.1) for the magnetic Schrödinger operator in an infinite slab. Let

$$\Sigma = \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, 0 < x_n < L\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$n \geq 3$, $L > 0$, be an infinite slab between two parallel hyperplanes

$$\Gamma_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n = L\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n = 0\}.$$

By the Poincaré inequality in an infinite slab Σ, see [26, Theorem 4.29], the quadratic form

$$u \mapsto \int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

is non-negative densely defined closed on $H_0^1(\Sigma)$. Associated with this quadratic form, the Laplace operator $-\Delta$, equipped with the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(-\Delta) = \{u \in H_0^1(\Sigma) : \Delta u \in L^2(\Sigma)\},$$

is a non-negative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\Sigma)$.

Proposition A.1. *We have $\mathcal{D}(-\Delta) = H_0^1(\Sigma) \cap H^2(\Sigma)$. Furthermore, the spectrum of $-\Delta$ is purely absolutely continuous and is equal to $[\pi^2/L^2, +\infty)$.*

Proof. For $F \in L^2(\Sigma)$, we consider

$$-\Delta u = F, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(-\Delta).$$

Taking the Fourier decompositions with respect to the variable $x_n \in [0, L]$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(x', x_n) &= \sum_{l=1}^\infty u_l(x') \sin\frac{l\pi x_n}{L}, \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad x_n \in [0, L], \\ F(x', x_n) &= \sum_{l=1}^\infty F_l(x') \sin\frac{l\pi x_n}{L}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

we have

$$\left(-\Delta_{x'} + \frac{l^2\pi^2}{L^2}\right)u_l(x') = F_l(x'), \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots. \tag{A.2}$$

Here the Fourier coefficients u_l of u and F_l of F are given by

$$u_l(x') = \frac{2}{L}\int_0^L u(x)\sin\frac{l\pi x_n}{L}dx_n, \tag{A.3}$$

$$F_l(x') = \frac{2}{L}\int_0^L F(x)\sin\frac{l\pi x_n}{L}dx_n.$$

The functions $F_l \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ and we have the Parseval identity

$$\|F\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \frac{L}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\|F_l\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

The operator

$$-\Delta_{x'} + \frac{l^2\pi^2}{L^2}, \quad l \geq 1,$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, equipped with the domain $H^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ with purely absolutely continuous spectrum $[l^2\pi^2/L^2, +\infty)$. Hence, (A.2) has the unique solution

$$u_l(x') = \bigg(-\Delta_{x'} + \frac{l^2\pi^2}{L^2}\bigg)^{-1}F_l(x') \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}),$$

and moreover,

$$\|u_l\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq \frac{L^2}{l^2\pi^2}\|F_l\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \tag{A.4}$$

$$\|u_l\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C(\|u_l\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|\Delta_{x'}u_l\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}) \leq C\|F_l\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \tag{A.5}$$

where C is independent of l. By interpolation,

$$\|u_l\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq \frac{C}{l}\|F_l\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \tag{A.6}$$

where C is independent of l. By Parseval's identity and (A.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)} &= \frac{L}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\|u_l\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{l^4}\|F_l\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C\|F\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)},\\
\|\partial_{x_n}u\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)} &= \|\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{l\pi}{L}u_l(x')\cos\frac{l\pi x_n}{L}\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)}\\
&= \frac{L}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{l^2\pi^2}{L^2}\|u_l\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C\|F\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)},
\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.6), we get

$$\|\partial_{x_j}u\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \frac{L}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\|\partial_{x_j}u_l\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{l^2}\|F_l\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C\|F\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)},$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. It follows from (A.5) that

$$\|\partial^2_{x_j,x_k} u\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \frac{L}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \|\partial^2_{x_j,x_k} u_l\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \le C\|F\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)},$$

$j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Furthermore,

$$\|\partial^2_{x_n} u\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \frac{L}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{l^4\pi^4}{L^4} \|u_l\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \le C\|F\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)},$$

$$\|\partial^2_{x_j,x_n} u\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \frac{L}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{l^2\pi^2}{L^2} \|\partial_{x_j} u_l\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \le C\|F\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)},$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Hence, $u \in H^2(\Sigma)$. The proof is complete, since the statement concerning the spectrum of $-\Delta$ follows from the fact that

$$-\Delta = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \Big(-\Delta_{x'} + \frac{l^2\pi^2}{L^2} \Big).$$

□

Proposition A.2. *Let* $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ *and* $q \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C})$. *Then the operator* $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x, D)$, *equipped with the domain* $H^1_0(\Sigma) \cap H^2(\Sigma)$ *is closed and its essential spectrum is equal to* $[\pi^2/L^2, +\infty)$.

Proof. We write

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,q} = -\Delta + 2A \cdot D + \widetilde{q}, \quad \widetilde{q} = -i(\nabla \cdot A) + A^2 + q \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Sigma})$ be compactly supported and $\chi = 1$ near $\text{supp}\,(\widetilde{q}) \cup \text{supp}\,(A)$. Then the operator

$$\widetilde{q}\Delta^{-1} : L^2(\Sigma) \to L^2(\Sigma)$$

is compact, as a composition of the compact operator

$$\chi\Delta^{-1} : L^2(\Sigma) \to H^2(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\text{supp}\,(\chi)) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Sigma),$$

and the bounded operator $\widetilde{q} : L^2(\Sigma) \to L^2(\Sigma)$.

For $j = 1, \dots, n$, the operator

$$A_j D_j \Delta^{-1} : L^2(\Sigma) \to L^2(\Sigma)$$

is compact, as a composition of the compact operator

$$\chi D_j \Delta^{-1} : L^2(\Sigma) \to H^1(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\text{supp}\,(\chi)) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Sigma),$$

and the bounded operator $A : L^2(\Sigma) \to L^2(\Sigma)$. Since relatively compact perturbations do not change the essential spectrum, the result follows in view of Proposition A.1.

□

Let $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C})$. Consider the following Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x, D) - k^2)u &= F \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma,\\ u|_{\partial\Sigma} &= 0,\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

for some $k \geq 0$.

(I) The case $0 \leq k < \pi/L$. We have the following immediate consequence of Proposition A.2.

Corollary A.3. *Assume that $0 \leq k < \pi/L$ and k^2 does not belong to the discrete spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, equipped with the domain $H_0^1(\Sigma) \cap H^2(\Sigma)$. Then for any $F \in L^2(\Sigma)$, the problem* (A.7) *has a unique solution $u \in H^2(\Sigma)$.*

(II) The case $k \geq \pi/L$. Our goal here is to study the solvability of the problem (A.7) for $F \in L^2(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma})$. In order to do this, let us first focus on the Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian in the slab Σ,

$$\begin{aligned}(-\Delta - k^2)u &= F \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma,\\ u|_{\partial\Sigma} &= 0,\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

for some $k \geq \pi/L$. Taking the Fourier decomposition (A.1), we have

$$\Big(- \Delta_{x'} + \frac{l^2\pi^2}{L^2} - k^2 \Big) u_l(x') = F_l(x'), \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots. \tag{A.9}$$

(II.i) In the case when $l \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $k > \pi l/L$, the equation (A.9) has a unique solution $u_l(x')$ satisfying the Sommerfeld radiation condition

$$u_l(x') = \mathcal{O}(|x'|^{-(n-2)/2}), \quad \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial |x'|} - ik_l \Big) u_l(x') = o(|x'|^{-(n-2)/2}), \tag{A.10}$$

as $|x'| \to \infty$, see [15]. Here $k_l = \sqrt{k^2 - l^2\pi^2/L^2} > 0$. Notice that by elliptic regularity, $u_l \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

(II.ii) In the case when $l \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $k < \pi l/L$, the equation (A.9) has a unique solution $u_l \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

(II.iii) In the case when $l \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $k = \pi l/L$, the equation (A.9) has the following form,

$$- \Delta_{x'} u_l(x') = F_l(x'), \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}. \tag{A.11}$$

In the case $n \geq 4$, (A.11) has a unique solution $u_l \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ satisfying

$$u_l(x') = \mathcal{O}(|x'|^{3-n}), \quad \nabla_{x'} u_l(x') = \mathcal{O}(|x'|^{2-n}), \tag{A.12}$$

as $|x'| \to \infty$. Indeed, we have $u_l = E * F_l$, where $E(x') = C_n |x'|^{3-n}$ is the standard fundamental solution of $-\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $C_n \neq 0$ is a constant.

In the case $n = 3$, we shall make the following assumption.

(A.I) In the case $n = 3$, assume that k is such that $k \neq \pi l/L$, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

The assumption (A.I) is motivated by the fact that (A.11) in general lacks solutions that are bounded on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Indeed, the general solution of (A.11) in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ has the form,

$$u_l = E_2 * F_l + p,$$

where $E_2(x') = (2\pi)^{-1} \log |x'|$ is the standard fundamental solution of $-\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and p is a harmonic polynomial.

In what follows we shall need the notation,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{<R} &: = \Sigma \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x'| < R\}, \\ \Sigma_{>R} &: = \Sigma \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x'| > R\}, \quad R > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the following definition, which is closely related to the discussion in [42].

Definition A.4. *Assume that u satisfies the following Dirichlet problem,*

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta - k^2)u &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma_{>R}, \\ u|_{\partial\Sigma \cap \overline{\Sigma_{>R}}} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for R sufficiently large and $k \geq \pi/L$ such that the assumption (A.I) *holds. Let us write*

$$u(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} u_l(x') \sin \frac{l\pi x_n}{L},$$

where the Fourier coefficients $u_l(x')$ are given by (A.3). *The function u is said to be admissible, provided that the following conditions hold:*

(i) *if $l < kL/\pi$, then $u_l(x')$ satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition* (A.10)*;*
(ii) *if $l > kL/\pi$, then $u_l \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$;*
(iii) *if $l = kL/\pi$ and $n \geq 4$, then $u_{kL/\pi}(x')$ satisfying* (A.12).

Notice that if the function u is admissible then $u \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma})$. We obtain the following result.

Proposition A.5. *Let $k \geq \pi/L$ and let the assumption* (A.I) *be satisfied. Then for any $F \in L^2(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma})$, the Dirichlet problem* (A.8) *for the Laplacian in the slab has a unique admissible solution in the sense of Definition* A.4.

Let us introduce the solution operator for the Dirichlet problem (A.8),

$$R_0(k) : L^2(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}) \to H^2_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma}), \; R_0(F) = u,$$

where u is the admissible solution of (A.8).

In order to study the solvability of the problem (A.7) for $F \in L^2(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma})$, in the case when $k \geq \pi/L$, we shall use the Lax-Phillips method, see [30, 39, 41], and to that end, we shall need the following assumption, which was also made in [41].

(A.II) Let $k \geq \pi/L$ and let the assumption (A.I) be satisfied. If u is an admissible solution of the problem (A.7) with $F = 0$, then u vanishes identically.

The following result shows that in the self-adjoint case, assumption (A.II) is satisfied away from the embedded eigenvalues and the set of thresholds $\{(\pi l/L)^2 : l = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$.

Proposition A.6. *Let* $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $q \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C})$, *and* $\operatorname{Im} q \leq 0$. *Assume that* $k \geq \pi/L$ *is such that* k^2 *is not an eigenvalue of the operator* $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, *equipped with the domain* $H^1_0(\Sigma) \cap H^2(\Sigma)$, *and* $k \neq \pi l/L$, *for all* $l = 1, 2, \dots$. *Then the assumption* (A.II) *is satisfied.*

Proof. Let u be an admissible solution of the problem (A.7) with $F = 0$, and let $R > 0$ be large so that $\operatorname{supp}(A) \subset \overline{\Sigma_{<R}}$. Multiplying (A.7) by $\overline{u}$ and integrating over $\Sigma_{<R}$, using the fact that A_j are real-valued, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Sigma_{<R}} (\mathcal{L}_{A,q} - k^2) u \overline{u} dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\int_{\Sigma_{<R}} |(D_j + A_j)u|^2 dx - i \int_{|x'|=R, 0<x_n<L} \nu_j (D_j u) \overline{u} dx_n dS(x') \right) \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma_{<R}} (q - k^2)|u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

Taking the imaginary part in (A.13), we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Im} \int_{|x'|=R, 0<x_n<L} (\nu \cdot \nabla u) \overline{u} dx_n dS(x') = \int_{\Sigma_{<R}} \operatorname{Im} q |u|^2 dx \leq 0. \tag{A.14}$$

Let us write $u = u_0 + u_1$, where

$$u_0(x) = \sum_{l > kL/\pi} u_l(x') \sin \frac{l\pi x_n}{L}, \quad u_1(x) = \sum_{1 \leq l < kL/\pi} u_l(x') \sin \frac{l\pi x_n}{L},$$

According to [42], we know that

$$u_0 = \mathcal{O}(|x'|^{-n}), \quad \nabla u_0 = \mathcal{O}(|x'|^{-n}), \tag{A.15}$$

as $|x'| \to \infty$. We have

$$\int_{|x'|=R, 0<x_n<L} (\nu \cdot \nabla u) \overline{u} dx_n dS(x') = I_0 + I_1,$$

where by (A.15),

$$I_0 = \int_{|x'|=R, 0<x_n<L} (\nu \cdot \nabla u_0) \overline{u_0} dx_n dS(x') = \mathcal{O}(R^{-n-2}),$$

as $R\to\infty$. Also using the fact that $\nu\cdot\nabla=\frac{x'}{R}\cdot\nabla_{x'}$ along $|x'|=R$,

$$I_1=\frac{L}{2}\sum_{1\le l<kL/\pi}\int_{|x'|=R}(\frac{x'}{R}\cdot\nabla_{x'}u_l(x'))\overline{u_l(x')}dS(x'),$$

where u_l, $1\le l<kL/\pi$, satisfies the equation

$$(-\Delta_{x'}+\frac{l^2\pi^2}{L^2}-k^2)u_l(x')=0,\quad x'\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\quad |x'|>R,$$

and the Sommerfeld radiation condition (A.10). Then u_l has the following asymptotic behavior

$$u_l(x')=a_l(\theta)\frac{e^{ik_l|x'|}}{|x'|^{(n-2)/2}}+\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{|x'|^{n/2}}),\quad \theta=\frac{x'}{|x'|},$$

as $|x'|\to\infty$, see [15, 46]. Thus,

$$\frac{x'}{|x'|}\cdot\nabla_{x'}u_l(x')=a_l(\theta)ik_l\frac{e^{ik_l|x'|}}{|x'|^{(n-2)/2}}+\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{|x'|^{n/2}}),$$

as $|x'|\to\infty$, and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}I_1&=\frac{L}{2}\sum_{1\le l<kL/\pi}\int_{|x'|=R}\left(\frac{|a_l(\theta)|^2ik_l}{|x'|^{n-2}}+\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{|x'|^{n-1}})\right)dS(x')\\&=\frac{L}{2}\sum_{1\le l<kL/\pi}\int_{|x'|=1}\left(|a_l(\theta)|^2ik_l+\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{R})\right)dS(x').\end{aligned}$$

Letting $R\to\infty$ in (A.14), we obtain that

$$\sum_{1\le l<kL/\pi}\int_{|x'|=1}|a_l(\theta)|^2k_ldS(x')=0.$$

Hence, $a_l\equiv 0$ for all $1\le l<kL/\pi$. By Rellich's theorem, $u_l=0$, $1\le l<kL/\pi$, for $|x'|>R$, see [27]. Thus, $u=u_0$ for $|x'|>R$, and therefore, by (A.15), $u\in L^2(\Sigma)$. Since k^2 is not an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, we conclude that $u=0$ in Σ. The proof is complete.

□

Remark A.7. *Let* $A\in W^{1,\infty}(\Sigma,\mathbb{R}^n)\cap\mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma},\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $q\in L^\infty(\Sigma,\mathbb{R})\cap\mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma},\mathbb{R})$. *Assume that* $k\ge\pi/L$ *is such that* k^2 *is not an eigenvalue of the operator* $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ *and* $k\ne\pi l/L$, *for all* $l=1,2,\dots$. *Then it follows from the arguments in the proof of Proposition* A.6 *that* k *is admissible for* $\mathcal{L}_{-A,q}$.

Let $R>0$ be such that $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}=-\Delta$ in $\Sigma_{>R}$. Let $S>R$. The operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ in $L^2(\Sigma_{<S})$, equipped with the domain $H^2(\Sigma_{<S})\cap H^1_0(\Sigma_{<S})$, which we shall denote by $\mathcal{L}^D_{A,q}$, is closed with discrete spectrum. Let $z\in\mathbb{C}$ be such that $\operatorname{Im} z\ne 0$ and

z is in the resolvent set of $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Sigma_{<S}})$ be compactly supported, $0 \le \phi \le 1$, and such that $\phi = 1$ in $\overline{\Sigma_{<R}}$.

Proposition A.8. *Let* $k \ge \pi/L$ *and let the assumptions* (A.I), (A.II) *be satisfied. Then for any* $F \in L^2(\Sigma_{<S})$, *there exists a unique* $g \in L^2(\Sigma_{<S})$ *such that*

$$u = \phi(\mathcal{L}^D_{A,q} - z)^{-1} g + (1-\phi) R_0(k) g \tag{A.16}$$

is the admissible solution of the Dirichlet problem (A.7) *in the sense of Definition* A.4.

Proof. Applying the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q} - k^2$ to u in (A.16), we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_{A,q} - k^2)u = g + Tg,$$

where

$$Tg := \phi(z-k^2)(\mathcal{L}^D_{A,q} - z)^{-1} g + [\mathcal{L}_{A,q}, \phi]((\mathcal{L}^D_{A,q} - z)^{-1} g - R_0(k) g). \tag{A.17}$$

Given $F \in L^2(\Sigma_{<S})$, we would like to find $g \in L^2(\Sigma_{<S})$ such that

$$g + Tg = F. \tag{A.18}$$

Let us first check that the operator

$$T : L^2(\Sigma_{<S}) \to L^2(\Sigma_{<S})$$

is compact. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^D_{A,q} - z)^{-1} &: L^2(\Sigma_{<S}) \to H^2(\Sigma_{<S}) \cap H^1_0(\Sigma_{<S}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Sigma_{<S}), \\ R_0(k) &: L^2(\Sigma_{<S}) \to H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

Now the commutator is given by

$$[\mathcal{L}_{A,q}, \phi] = -2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla - \Delta\phi + 2A \cdot D\phi,$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_{A,q}, \phi] &: H^2(\Sigma_{<S}) \to H^1(\Sigma_{<S}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Sigma_{<S}), \\ [\mathcal{L}_{A,q}, \phi] &: H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma}) \to H^1(\Sigma_{<S}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Sigma_{<S}), \end{aligned}$$

which show the compactness of the operator T.

Hence, the operator $I + T$ is Fredholm of index zero and therefore, to show that the equation (A.18) has a unique solution, it suffices to check that $F = 0$ implies that $g = 0$.

Assume that $F = 0$. Then the assumption (A.II) implies that $u = 0$ in Σ. Let $u_1 := (\mathcal{L}^D_{A,q} - z)^{-1} g$ and $u_2 := R_0(k) g$. Then

$$\phi u_1 + (1-\phi) u_2 = 0. \tag{A.19}$$

Let us first consider the set

$$\Sigma_1 := \{x \in \Sigma_{<S} : \phi(x) = 1\}.$$

We have $u_1 = 0$ in Σ_1, and it follows from (A.17) that $Tg = 0$ in Σ_1. Hence, (A.18) implies that $g = 0$ in Σ_1.

Consider now the set

$$\Sigma_1^c := \{x \in \Sigma_{<S} : \phi(x) \neq 1\}.$$

It follows from (A.19) that $u_2 = \phi(u_2 - u_1)$. We have

$$(-\Delta - z)(u_2 - u_1) = (k^2 - z)\phi(u_2 - u_1),$$

and furthermore, $u_1|_{\partial\Sigma_{<S}} = u_2|_{\partial\Sigma_{<S}} = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma_{<S}} (k^2 - z)\phi|u_2 - u_1|^2 dx &= \int_{\Sigma_{<S}} (-\Delta - z)(u_2 - u_1)(\bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_1)dx \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_{<S}} (|\nabla(u_2 - u_1)|^2 - z|u_2 - u_1|^2)dx.\end{aligned} \tag{A.20}$$

Taking the imaginary part in (A.20), we obtain that

$$\int_{\Sigma_{<S}} (1 - \phi)|u_2 - u_1|^2 dx = 0.$$

Hence, $u_2 - u_1 = 0$ in Σ_1^c. Thus, (A.19) implies that $\phi u_1 = 0$ in Σ_1^c. It follows from (A.17) that $Tg = (z - k^2)\phi u_1 = 0$ in Σ_1^c, and therefore, $g = 0$ in Σ_1^c. The proof is complete.

□

Let now $k \geq 0$. It will be convenient to have the following definition.

Definition A.9. *A frequency $k \geq 0$ is said to be admissible for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, if the following holds:*

- (i) *if $k < \pi/L$, then k^2 does not belong to the discrete spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, equipped with the domain $H_0^1(\Sigma) \cap H^2(\Sigma)$;*
- (ii) *if $k \geq \pi/L$, then the assumptions* (A.I) *and* (A.II) *are fulfilled.*

Definition A.10. *Let $k \geq 0$ be admissible and $F \in L^2(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma})$. Then a solution u of the problem* (A.7) *is said to be admissible, if the following holds:*

- (i) *if $k < \pi/L$, then $u \in H^2(\Sigma)$ is the unique solution given by Corollary* A.3*;*
- (ii) *if $k \geq \pi/L$, then u is the admissible solution in the sense of Definition* A.4*.*

Consider the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x, D) - k^2)u(x) = 0 \quad &\text{in} \quad \Sigma, \\ u = f \quad &\text{on} \quad \Gamma_1, \\ u = 0 \quad &\text{on} \quad \Gamma_2,\end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

where $k \geq 0$ is fixed admissible, and $f \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\Gamma_1)$. Let $F \in H^2(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma})$ be such that $F|_{\Gamma_1} = f$ and $F|_{\Gamma_2} = 0$. We solve the problem (A.21) by setting

$$u = F + u_0,$$

where u_0 is the admissible solution of the problem,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x,D) - k^2)u_0 &= (k^2 - \mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x,D))F \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma, \\ u_0 &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Sigma, \end{aligned}$$

in the sense of Definition A.10. We have $u \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma})$. We shall refer to this solution u of the problem (A.21) as the admissible solution.

In the main text we shall have to use the following Green's formula in the infinite slab Σ.

Proposition A.11. *Let $k \geq 0$ be an admissible frequency for $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{-A,q}$, let u be the admissible solution to the problem* (A.21) *with some $f \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\Gamma_1)$, and $\overline{v}$ be the admissible solution of the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{-A,q} - k^2)\overline{v} &= g, \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma, \\ \overline{v}|_{\partial\Sigma} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for some $g \in L^2(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Sigma})$. Then we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A},\overline{q}} v, u)_{L^2(\Sigma)} - (v, \mathcal{L}_{A,q} u)_{L^2(\Sigma)} = -(\partial_\nu v, u)_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}.$$

Here ν is the unit outer normal to Γ_1.

Proof. First notice that v satisfies $(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A},\overline{q}} - k^2)v = \overline{g}$ in Σ. Let $R > 0$ be such that $\text{supp}\,(A^{(j)}) \subset \overline{\Sigma_{<R}}$. Setting

$$\Gamma_j \cap \partial\Sigma_{<R} = d_j(R), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad \partial\Sigma_{<R} \cap \Sigma = d_3(R),$$

we have $A^{(j)} = 0$ on $d_3(R)$. By (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A},\overline{q}} v, u)_{L^2(\Sigma_{<R})} - (v, \mathcal{L}_{A,q} u)_{L^2(\Sigma_{<R})} &= -(\partial_\nu v, u)_{L^2(d_1(R))} \\ &\quad + (v, \partial_\nu u)_{L^2(d_3(R))} - (\partial_\nu v, u)_{L^2(d_3(R))}. \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that $(v, \partial_\nu u)_{L^2(d_3(R))} - (\partial_\nu v, u)_{L^2(d_3(R))}$ tends to zero as $R \to \infty$. Consider the case $k \geq \pi/L$ for the maximum of generality. Let us write $u = u_0 + u_1$, where

$$u_0(x) = \sum_{l > kL/\pi} u_l(x') \sin\frac{l\pi x_n}{L}, \quad u_1(x) = \sum_{1 \leq l \leq kL/\pi} u_l(x') \sin\frac{l\pi x_n}{L},$$

and similarly, $v = v_0 + v_1$. We set

$$\int_{|x'|=R} \int_0^L (u\overline{\partial_\nu v} - \overline{v}\partial_\nu u) dx_n dS(x') = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_{|x'|=R} \int_0^L (u_0 \overline{\partial_\nu v_0} - \overline{v_0} \partial_\nu u_0) dx_n dS(x') = \mathcal{O}(R^{-n-2}),$$

as $R \to \infty$, in view of (A.15) for u_0 and v_0. Here

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{|x'|=R} \int_0^L (u_1 \overline{\partial_\nu v_1} - \overline{v_1} \partial_\nu u_1) dx_n dS(x') \\ &= \frac{L}{2} \sum_{1 \le l \le kL/\pi} \int_{|x'|=R} (u_l(x') \overline{\partial_\nu v_l(x')} - \overline{v_l(x')} \partial_\nu u_l(x')) dS(x'). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\partial_\nu = (x'/R) \cdot \nabla_{x'}$ along $|x'| = R$ together with (A.10), for $l < kL/\pi$, we get

$$\int_{|x'|=R} (u_l(x') \overline{\partial_\nu v_l(x')} - \overline{v_l(x')} \partial_\nu u_l(x')) dS(x') = o(R^{-(n-2)}) \int_{|x'|=R} dS(x') = o(1),$$

as $R \to \infty$. Finally, if k is such that $l = kL/\pi$ and $n \ge 4$, using (A.12), we obtain that

$$\int_{|x'|=R} (u_l(x') \frac{x'}{R} \cdot \nabla_{x'} \overline{v_l(x')} - \overline{v_l(x')} \frac{x'}{R} \cdot \nabla_{x'} u_l(x')) dS(x') = \mathcal{O}(R^{3-n}),$$

as $R \to \infty$. The proof is complete.

□

Acknowledgements

K.K. is grateful to Pavel Exner for a helpful discussion. The research of K.K. is supported by the Academy of Finland (project 125599). The research of M.L. is partially supported by the Academy of Finland Center of Excellence programme 213476. The research of G.U. is partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

References

[1] Amelinckx, S., et al., *Electron Microscopy: Principles and Fundamentals*, Wiley–VCH, 1997.

[2] Ammari, H., Uhlmann, G., *Reconstruction of the potential from partial Cauchy data for the Schrödinger equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53** (2004), no. 1, 169–183.

[3] Arridge, S., Lionheart, W., *Nonuniqueness in diffusion-based optical tomography*, Optics Letters **23**(1998), 882–884.

[4] Arridge, S., *Optical tomography in medical imaging*, Inverse Problems **15** (1999), R41.

[5] Astala, K., Päivärinta, L., *Calderón's inverse conductivity problem in the plane*, Annals of Math. **163** (2006), 265–299.

[6] Astala, K., Lassas, M., and Päiväirinta, L., *Calderón's inverse problem for anisotropic conductivity in the plane*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2005), 207–224.

[7] Ben Joud, H., *A stability estimate for an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field from partial boundary measurements*, Inverse Problems **25** (2009), no. 4, 045012, 23 pp.

[8] Brown, R., Salo, M., *Identifiability at the boundary for first-order terms*, Appl. Anal. **85** (2006), no. 6–7, 735–749.

[9] Bukhgeim, A., *Recovering the potential from Cauchy data in two dimensions*, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. **16** (2008), 19–34.

[10] Bukhgeim, A., Uhlmann, G., *Recovering a potential from partial Cauchy data*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **27** (2002), no. 3–4, 653–668.

[11] Calderón, A., *On an inverse boundary value problem*, Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics (Rio de Janeiro, 1980), Soc. Brasil. Mat., Rio de Janeiro, 1980, 65–73.

[12] Case, M., Zweifel, P., *Linear Transport Theory*, New York: Addison-Wesley, 1967.

[13] Chen, C. J., *Introduction to scanning tunneling microscopy*, Oxford Series in Optical & Imaging Sciences, 1993.

[14] Choulli, M., *Une introduction aux problèmes inverses elliptiques et paraboliques*, volume 65 of *Mathématiques & Applications (Berlin) [Mathematics & Applications]*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.

[15] Colton, D., Kress, R., *Integral equation methods in scattering theory*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1983.

[16] Cristofol, M., Gaitan, P., and Iftimie, V., *Inverse problems for the Schrdinger operator in a layer*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **50** (2005), no. 2, 153–180.

[17] Dos Santos Ferreira, D., Kenig, C., Sjöstrand, J., and Uhlmann, G., *Determining a magnetic Schrödinger operator from partial Cauchy data*, Comm. Math. Phys. **271** (2007), no. 2, 467–488.

[18] Eskin, G., Ralston, J., *Inverse scattering problem for the Schrödinger equation with magnetic potential at a fixed energy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **173** (1995), no. 1, 199–224.

[19] Fanelli, D., Öktem, O., *Electron tomography: a short overview with an emphasis on the absorption potential model for the forward problem*, Inverse Problems **24** (2008), 013001.

[20] Greenleaf, A., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *The Calderón problem for conormal potentials, I: Global uniqueness and reconstruction*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **56** (2003), 328–352.

[21] Greenleaf, A., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *On nonuniqueness for Calderón's inverse problem*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), no. 5–6, 685–693.

[22] Greenleaf, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *Full-wave invisibility of active devices at all frequencies*, Comm. Math. Phys. **275** (2007), 749–789.

[23] Greenleaf, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *Invisibility and Inverse Problems*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **46** (2009), 55–97.

[24] Greenleaf, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *Cloaking Devices, Electromagnetic Wormholes and Transformation Optics*, SIAM Review **51** (2009), 3–33.

[25] Greenleaf, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *Approximate Quantum and Acoustic Cloaking*, Journal of Spectral Theory **1**(2011), 27–80.

[26] Grubb, G., *Distributions and operators*, volume 252 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2009.

[27] Hörmander, L., *Lower bounds at infinity for solutions of differential equations with constant coefficients*, Israel J. Math. **16** (1973), 103–116.

[28] Hörmander, L., *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*, Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[29] Ikehata, M., *Inverse conductivity problem in the infinite slab*, Inverse Problems **17** (2001), no. 3, 437–454.

[30] Isakov, V., *Inverse problems for partial differential equations*, Second edition. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 127. Springer, New York, 2006.

[31] Isakov, V., *On uniqueness in the inverse conductivity problem with local data*, Inverse Probl. Imaging **1** (2007), no. 1, 95–105.

[32] Kachalov, A., Kurylev, Y., and Lassas, M., *Inverse Boundary Spectral Problems*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Monogr. and Surv. in Pure and Appl. Math. **123**, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2001.

[33] Keijzer, M., Star, W., and Storchi, P., *Optical diffusion in layered media*, Appl. Opt., **27** (1988), 1820–1824.

[34] Kenig, C., Sjöstrand, J., and Uhlmann, G., *The Calderón problem with partial data*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 2, 567–591.

[35] Knudsen, K., Salo, M., *Determining nonsmooth first order terms from partial boundary measurements*, Inverse Probl. Imaging **1** (2007), no. 2, 349–369.

[36] Krupchyk, K., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *Inverse boundary value problems for the perturbed polyharmonic operator*, see http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.5542.

[37] Lassas, M., Uhlmann, G., *Determining Riemannian manifold from boundary measurements*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **34** (2001), 771–787.

[38] Lassas, M., Taylor, M., and Uhlmann, G., *The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for complete Riemannian manifolds with boundary*, Comm. Geom. Anal. **11** (2003), 207–222.

[39] Lax, P., Phillips, R., *Scattering theory for the acoustic equation in an even number of space dimensions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 22 (1972/73), 101–134.

[40] Lee, J., Uhlmann, G., *Determining anisotropic real-analytic conductivities by boundary measurements*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989), 1097–1112.

[41] Li, X., Uhlmann, G., *Inverse problems with partial data in a slab*, Inverse Probl. Imaging **4** (2010), no. 3, 449–462.

[42] Morgenröther, K., Werner, P., *Resonances and standing waves*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 9 (1987), no. 1, 105–126.

[43] Nachman, A., *Reconstructions from boundary measurements*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), 531–576.

[44] Nachman, A., *Global uniqueness for a two-dimensional inverse boundary value problem*, Ann. of Math. **143** (1996), 71–96.

[45] Nakamura, G., Sun, Z., and Uhlmann, G., *Global identifiability for an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), no. 3, 377–388.

[46] O'Dell, S., *Inverse scattering for the Laplace-Beltrami operator with complex electromagnetic potentials and embedded obstacles*, Inverse Problems **22** (2006), no. 5, 1579–1603.

[47] Päivärinta, L., Panchenko, A., and Uhlmann, G., *Complex geometrical optics for Lipschitz conductivities*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **19** (2003), 57–72.

[48] Quinto, E.T., Öktem, O., *Local Tomography in Electron Microscopy*, SIAM J. Applied Math. **68** (2008), 1282–1303.

[49] Reimer, L., Kohl, H., *Transmission electron microscopy: Physics of image formation*, Springer Series in Optical Sciences, 2008.

[50] Salo, M., *Inverse problems for nonsmooth first order perturbations of the Laplacian*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss. **139** (2004).

[51] Salo, M., *Semiclassical pseudodifferential calculus and the reconstruction of a magnetic field*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **31** (2006), no. 10–12, 1639–1666.

[52] Salo, M., Wang, J.-N., *Complex spherical waves and inverse problems in unbounded domains*, Inverse Problems **22** (2006), no. 6, 2299–2309.

[53] Sun, Z., *An inverse boundary value problem for Schrödinger operators with vector potentials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **338** (1993), no. 2, 953–969.

[54] Sylvester, J., Uhlmann, G., *A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem*, Ann. of Math. **125** (1987), 153–169.

K. Krupchyk, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 68, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland

E-mail address: `katya.krupchyk@helsinki.fi`

M. Lassas, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 68, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland

E-mail address: `matti.lassas@helsinki.fi`

G. Uhlmann, Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-4350, and, Department of Mathematics, 340 Rowland Hall University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3875, USA

E-mail address: `gunther@math.washington.edu`